Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 397 Filed 01/07/16 Entered 01/07/16 17:19:46 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 4

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

In re:

Linda F. Cantor (CA Bar No. 153762)
Jason S. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 157216)
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: 310-277-6910
Facsimile: 310-201-0760
E-mail:lcantor@pszjlaw.com
jspomerantz@pszjlaw.com

Counsel for R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 7 Trustee for The Tulving Company, Inc.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SANTA ANA DIVISION

THE TULVING COMPANY, INC., a California corporation, Chapter 7

Debtor.

TRUSTEE'S REPLY TO RESPONSE AND OBJECTION BY CLAIMANT CATHERINE

J. TAYLOR TO NOTICE OF OMNIBUS MOTION AND OMNIBUS MOTION FOR ORDER DISALLOWING CLAIMS WHICH HAVE BEEN AMENDED AND

SUPERSEDED BY SUBSEQUENTLY FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM [RELATES TO

DOCKET NO. 293]

Date: January 14, 2016 Time: 10:30 a.m. Place: Courtroom 5A

Case No.: 8:14-bk-11492-ES

411 West Fourth Street Santa Ana, CA 92701

Judge: Hon. Erithe A. Smith

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

R. Todd Neilson, in his capacity as the duly appointed, authorized and acting Chapter 7

Trustee (the "<u>Trustee</u>") of The Tulving Company, Inc. (the "<u>Debtor</u>"), hereby files this reply (the

"Reply") to the Response and Objection by Claimant Catherine J. Taylor (the "Taylor Response") to

the Notice of Omnibus Motion and Omnibus Motion for Order Disallowing Claims Which Have

Been Amended and Superseded by Subsequently Filed Proofs of Claim (the "Omnibus Motion"). In

support of the Reply, the Trustee respectfully represents as follows.

The Omnibus Motion [Docket No. 293] requests that the Court disallow the initial proof of claim filed by Catherine J. Taylor, Claim No. 222-1 in the amount of \$41,250.00 (the "<u>Initial Taylor</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

\underline{Claim} ") on the grounds that it has been amended and superseded by the subsequently filed proof of
claim filed by Ms. Taylor, Claim No. 222-2, in the amount of \$129,305.00 (the "Amended Taylor
<u>Claim</u> "). As noted in the Taylor Response, the Trustee has filed a separate objection to the
Amended Taylor Claim seeking to reduce and allow such claim to the amount set forth in the Initial
Taylor Claim. The Taylor Response appears to misapprehend the relief sought by the Trustee in the
Separate Objection and therefor requests that if the Court grants the Separate Objection, then the
Court should nonetheless allow the Initial Taylor Claim. The relief requested in the Taylor
Response is unnecessary because the Separate Objection does not seek to disallow in full the
Amended Taylor Claim. The Separate Objection requests that the Court allow the Amended Taylor
Claim in a reduced amount, which is the same amount claimed in the Initial Taylor Claim.

There is no prejudice to Ms. Taylor by this Court disallowing the Initial Taylor Claim as requested in the Omnibus Motion. The Court will determine the proper amount of the Amended Taylor Claim at the hearing on the Separate Objection. To the extent this Court grants the Separate Objection, Ms. Taylor will retain a claim in the amount set forth in the Initial Taylor Claim. However, since Mr. Taylor has amended the Initial Taylor Claim, that claim has been superseded and should be disallowed.

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter an order granting the Omnibus Motion and disallow the Initial Taylor Claim.

Dated: January 7, 2016 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

> By /s/ Linda F. Cantor Linda F. Cantor Jason S. Pomerantz

> > Counsel for R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 7 Trustee for the Tulving Company, Inc.

See Notice of Motion and Motion for Order Reducing and Allowing Claim 222-2 filed by Catherine J. Taylor, Docket No. 351 (the "Separate Objection").

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

26

27

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, 13th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled TRUSTEE'S REPLY TO RESPONSE AND OBJECTION BY CLAIMANT CATHERINE J. TAYLOR TO NOTICE OF OMNIBUS MOTION AND OMNIBUS MOTION FOR ORDER DISALLOWING CLAIMS WHICH HAVE BEEN AMENDED AND SUPERSEDED BY SUBSEQUENTLY FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM [RELATES TO DOCKET NO. 293] will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document on January 7, 2016, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

Service information continued on attached page

2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

On January 7, 2016, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

> \boxtimes Service information continued on attached page

3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on January 7, 2016, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Via Federal Express

The Honorable Erithe A. Smith

United States Bankruptcy Court 21

Central District of California

Ronald Reagan Federal Building and Courthouse 22

411 West Fourth Street, Suite 5040

Santa Ana, CA 92701-4593 23

> \boxtimes Service information continued on attached page

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

January 7, 2016 Janice G. Washington /s/ Janice G. Washington Printed Name Date Signature

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT V	A NOTICE OF FI	FCTRONIC FIL	I ING (NFF)
	10 DE CENTED DI THE COCKI V			

•	Weslev H Averv	wamiracle6@yahoo.com,	wavery@rpmlaw.com
•	VVCOICY II AVCIY	wairiii acico esparioo.com,	wavery expiritation.co

- candice@brynerlaw.com Candice Bryner
- Philip Burkhardt phil @burkhardtandlarson.com, stacey @burkhardtandlarson.com
- Stephen L Burton steveburtonlaw@aol.com
- Frank Cadigan frank.cadigan@usdoi.gov
- david.gibbs@gibbslaw.com, ecf@gibbslaw.com David L Gibbs

- John H Kim jkim@cookseylaw.com
- tneilson@brg-expert.com, sgreenan@brg-R. Todd Neilson (TR) expert.com;tneilson@ecf.epiqsystems.com;ntroszak@brg-expert.com
- Jason S Pomerantz jspomerantz@pszjlaw.com, jspomerantz@pszjlaw.com
- Nanette D Sanders becky@ringstadlaw.com
- Richard C Spencer rspencer@rspencerlaw.com
- United States Trustee (SA) ustpregion16.sa.ecf@usdoj.gov

2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

Catherine J. Taylor c/o John B. Dougherty, Attorney at Law 10955 Westmoor Drive, Suite 400 Westminster, CO 80021