Notice") for the hearing on the Expedited Motion of the Chapter 11 Trustee for Order Authorizing (1) Rejection of Real Property Leases Located in Newport Beach, California, and (2) Abandonment of Remaining Personal Property Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 365 and 554 (the "Motion"). A copy of the Notice of Motion and Motion are attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

For the reasons set forth herein, the Trustee requests that the Court set a hearing on the Motion on the earliest date as is convenient for the Court, and that the Court require that any opposition or objection to the Motion be filed and served on counsel for the Trustee at any time before the hearing or be raised orally at the hearing.

The Motion seeks an order authorizing the Trustee to reject two real property leases, each dated January 1, 2011, between the Debtor, as tenant, and Levon Gugasian, as landlord (the "Landlord"), for the premises (collectively, the "Leased Premises") located at (i) 2110 ½ W. Oceanfront Boulevard, Newport Beach, California 92663 (the "Home Office Lease") and (ii) 2112 ½ W. Oceanfront Boulevard, Newport Beach California 92663 (the "Residential Lease" and, together with the Home Office Lease, the "Leases"), with said rejection being effective as of the date that the Trustee turns over the keys to the Landlord; and (2) the abandonment of any personal property (the "Remaining Personal Property") belonging to the Debtor remaining at the Leased Premises as of the Rejection Effective Date, with the abandonment being effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

Expediting the hearing on this Motion will permit the rejection of the Leased Premises to occur at its earliest opportunity, thereby avoid further incurrence of potential administrative claims against the estate. The Debtor and/or the Debtor's principal no longer occupy or use the Leased Premises and the Trustee has no need for the Leases in the administration of the estate. An expedited hearing, therefore, is necessary.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated herein, as supported by the Declaration of R. Todd Neilson filed in support of this Application to Shorten Notice, the Trustee submits that this Application to Shorten Notice is in the best interests of the estate and should be granted by the Court.

### Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 51 Filed 04/16/14 Entered 04/16/14 12:25:23 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 22

In accordance with Local Rule 9075-1(b), this Application to Shorten Notice, filed with a copy of the Motion attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**, will be served contemporaneously with the filing of same, via facsimile, email, or overnight/Express Mail delivery on (1) the Office of the United States Trustee and (2) the parties that have filed with the Court and served upon the Debtor and/or Trustee, requests for notice of all matters in accordance with Bankruptcy Rule 2002(i). The Trustee submits that such notice is sufficient under the circumstances.

As soon as the Court notifies the Trustee's counsel if the Application to Shorten Notice has been granted, the Trustee will give notice of the hearing date and time and objection deadline by overnight mail, facsimile and/or email, to the parties referenced in the foregoing paragraph.

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter the Order uploaded currently herewith granting the Application to Shorten Notice, setting the Motion for a hearing as soon as is convenient for the Court, providing that any objections to the Motion be filed and served on the Trustee's counsel prior to the hearing or be raised orally at the hearing, and granting such other and further relief as is just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: April 16, 2014 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

By <u>/s/ Linda F. Cantor</u> Linda F. Cantor

> Proposed Attorneys for R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 11 Trustee

### 4

### 5 6

### 8

7

### 9 10

### 11

### 12 13

### 14

### 15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

### DECLARATION OF R. TODD NEILSON

I, R. Todd Neilson, declare as follows:

- I am the duly appointed chapter 11 trustee (the "Trustee") in the above-captioned 1. bankruptcy case of The Tulving Company (the "Debtor"). I make this Declaration on facts within my personal knowledge (albeit my own or that gathered by professionals rendering services to me), or as a result of having reviewed the court file in this Case. If called upon, I can and will competently testify to the facts stated herein.
- I make this Declaration in support of the Application to Shorten Notice on the 2. Expedited Motion of the Chapter 11 Trustee for Order Authorizing (1) Rejection of Real Property Leases Located in Newport Beach, California, and (2) Abandonment of Remaining Personal Property Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 365 and 554 (the "Motion"). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.
- The Motion seeks an order authorizing the Trustee to reject two real property leases, 3. each dated January 1, 2011, between the Debtor, as tenant, and Levon Gugasian, as landlord (the "Landlord"), for the premises (collectively, the "Leased Premises") located at (i) 2110 ½ W. Oceanfront Boulevard, Newport Beach, California 92663 (the "Home Office Lease") and (ii) 2112 1/2 W. Oceanfront Boulevard, Newport Beach California 92663 (the "Residential Lease" and, together with the Home Office Lease, the "Leases"), with said rejection being effective as of the date that the Trustee turns over the keys to the Landlord; and (2) the abandonment of any personal property (the "Remaining Personal Property") belonging to the Debtor remaining at the Leased Premises as of the Rejection Effective Date, with the abandonment being effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.
- Expediting the hearing on this Motion will permit the rejection of the Leased Premises 4. to occur at its earliest opportunity, thereby avoid further incurrence of potential administrative claims against the estate. The Debtor no longer occupies or uses the Leased Premises and the Trustee has no need for the Leases in the administration of the estate. An expedited hearing, therefore, is necessary.
- It is my opinion that shortening the notice period for the hearing on the Motion, 5. therefore, is in the best interests of the estate.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the forgoing is true and correct.

Executed this 16th day of April, 2014, at Los Angeles, California.

R. Todd Neilson

# Exhibit 1

Doc 51 Filed 04/16/14 Entered 04/16/14 12:25:23

Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES

DOCS LA:277131.1 59935/001

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Gugasian, as landlord (the "Landlord"), for the premises (collectively, the "Leased Premises") located at (i) 2110 1/2 W. Oceanfront Boulevard, Newport Beach, California 92663 (the "Home Office Lease") and (ii) 2112 1/2 W. Oceanfront Boulevard, Newport Beach California 92663 (the "Residential Lease" and, together with the Home Office Lease, the "Leases"), with said rejection being effective as of the date that the Trustee turns over the keys to the Landlord; and (2) the abandonment of any personal property (the "Remaining Personal Property") belonging to the Debtor remaining at the Leased Premises as of the Rejection Effective Date, with the abandonment being effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

The Debtor no longer occupies or uses the Leased Premises and the Trustee has no need for the Leases in the administration of the estate. Based on his review, the Trustee does not believe that the Leases have any value to the estate and, in fact, believes that the Leases are burdensome to the estate. In order to minimize any further administrative rent obligations of the Debtor's chapter 11 estate and to maximize the estate for the creditors, the Trustee has determined that rejection of the Leases is in the best interest of the estate.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Trustee, by no later than the Rejection Effective Date, will have removed all personal property of any value to the estate from the Leased Premises. The personal property that remains at the Leased Premises after such removal primarily will be furniture. To the extent there may be any other Remaining Personal Property, it will be of no value to the chapter 11 estate. The cost of removing, storing and marketing the Remaining Personal Property would significantly outweigh the value of that property, therefore, the Remaining Personal Property is burdensome to the estate and should be abandoned effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that in order to avoid the estate becoming liable for any additional rent under the Leases, which is approximately \$10,300 per month, the Trustee is requesting that the Court shorten the notice period for a hearing on this Motion and set the hearing at the earliest date that is convenient to the Court so that, if the Trustee deems it appropriate, the Leases can be rejected effective as early as of April 30, 2014. When the Court enters an order setting a

¢	Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 51 Filed 04/16/14 Entered 04/16/14 12:25:23 Desc Main Document Page 9 of 22
1	hearing date for this Motion, the Trustee will serve separate notice of the hearing date and the
2	objection deadline.
3	PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Motion is based on and supported by this
4	Notice, the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the accompanying Declaration of R.
5	Todd Neilson, and the arguments of counsel, and other admissible evidence properly brought before
6	the Court at or before any hearing on this Motion. The Trustee further requests that the Court take
7	judicial notice of all other pleadings filed in the above-captioned chapter 11 Case.
8	WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order (i) granting
9	the Motion, (ii) authorizing and approving the rejection of the Leases effective as of the Rejection
10	Effective Date, (iii) authorizing and approving the abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property
11	effective as of the Rejection Effective Date and (iv) granting such other and further relief as it deems
12	necessary and appropriate.
13	Dated: April 16, 2014 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
14	By: /s/ Linda F. Cantor
15	Linda F. Cantor (SBN 153872)
16	Attorneys for R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 11 Trustee
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Attoriets At Law Los Angeles, California

### 3

## 45

### 6

### 7 8

### 9

### 10

### 11

### 12 13

### 14

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

### 15

### 16

### 17

### 18

### 19 20

### 21

### 22

### 23

### 24

## 2526

### 27

### 28

### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

#### I.

### INTRODUCTION

In order to avoid incurring unnecessary administrative expenses for the Debtor's chapter 11 estate (the "Estate"), the Trustee filed the attached Expedited Motion of the Chapter 11 Trustee for Order Authorizing (i) Rejection of Two Real Property Leases Located in Newport Beach, California, and (ii) Abandonment of Remaining Personal Property Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 365 and 554 (the "Motion") seeking an order of the Court authorizing and approving the rejection of two real property leases located in Newport Beach, as described below, and the abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property. The Debtor no longer occupies or uses the Leased Premises and the Trustee has no need for the Leased Premises in the administration of the estate. Based upon the Trustee's review, the Leases have no value to the estate and the Trustee, therefore, has determined that the rejection of the Leases and the abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property is in the best interest of the estate.

#### II.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This matter relates to the administration of the Estate and is accordingly a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A) and (O). Venue of this case is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicate for the relief requested herein are sections 365(a) and 554(a) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code").

#### III.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

### A. The Background of the Debtor's Businesses

The Debtor is a California corporation. Hannes Tulving, Jr. is the President and sole equity holder of the Debtor. The Debtor was in the business of selling and purchasing gold, silver, coins, bullion, and other precious metals through its internet website or by phone. Over the past year, customer complaints concerning delayed or undelivered orders were increasingly made to the Better

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Business Bureau against the Debtor and in early March, 2014, a class-action lawsuit was filed against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving, Jr. in the United States District Court, Northern District of California. The Debtor ceased operations on or about March 3, 2014. Shortly before the initiation of these proceedings, a raid was conducted at the business offices of the Debtor by the Secret Service and the Department of Justice and the Debtor's computers and documents were seized for an ongoing criminal investigation.

### B. Procedural Background of Case

The Debtor commenced this case by the filing of a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on March 10, 2014. In light of the pending criminal investigation and other ongoing litigation, on March 18, 2014, the United States Trustee filed a *Stipulation Appointing Chapter 11 Trustee* [Docket No. 15] ("Stipulation"), which was signed by both the Debtor and its attorney. The Stipulation was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on March 18, 2014 [Docket No. 16] and an Order was entered by the Court on March 21, 2014 approving the *U.S. Trustee's Application for the Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee*, appointing R. Todd Neilson as Trustee of the Debtor's estate [Docket No. 22].

#### C. The Leases

The Debtor is a party to, among others, two real property leases, each dated January 1, 2011, between the Debtor, as tenant, and Levon Gugasian, as landlord (the "Landlord"), for the premises (collectively, the "Leased Premises") located at (i) 2110 ½ W. Oceanfront Boulevard, Newport Beach, California 92663 (the "Home Office Lease") and (ii) 2112 ½ W. Oceanfront Boulevard, Newport Beach California 92663 (the "Residential Lease" and, together with the Home Office Lease, the "Leases"). Each of the leases is for a ten-year term, commencing January 1, 2011 and terminating February 28, 2012. The base monthly rental for the Residential Lease is \$5,800 with an additional increase of \$500 per month (i.e., a \$6,000 increase annually). The base monthly rental for the Home Office Lease is \$4,500 with an additional increase of \$500 per month (\$6,000 increase annually). The Debtor paid a security deposit to the Landlord in the amount of \$15,000 for each of the Leases. The Trustee is informed and believes that the Landlord applied both security deposits towards payment of unpaid rental in 2013.

#### D. The Rejection of the Leases

The Trustee has determined in his business judgment that continued occupancy of the Leased Premises is not in the best interests of the Estate. The Debtor no longer occupies or uses the Leased Premises and the Leased Premises are not necessary for the Trustee's administration of the Estate. The Trustee has determined that the rejection of the Leases, effective as of April 30, 2014, is in the best interests of the estate so that it can avoid the incurrence of additional unnecessary administrative rent, which is no less than \$10,300 per month. The Trustee is, therefore, seeking approval of the rejection of the Leases, which might otherwise become an unnecessary drain on the assets of this estate, with said rejection being effective as of the date that the Trustee turns over the keys to the Landlord.

The Trustee does not believe that the Leases hold any value, especially in light of the "carrying costs" the estate would incur during any marketing period and the possibility that no viable assignee would materialize. The base monthly cost of the Leases to the estate is approximately \$10,300, which amounts could be treated as an administrative expenses if the Leases are not rejected in a timely manner.

### E. The Abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property

By the Motion, the Trustee seeks to abandon any personal property (the "Remaining Personal Property") belonging to the Debtor remaining at the Leased Premises as of the Rejection Effective Date, with the abandonment being effective as of the Rejection Effective Date. The Trustee, by no later than the Rejection Effective Date, will have removed all personal property of any value to the estate from the Leased Premises. The personal property that remains at the Leased Premises after such removal primarily will be furniture. To the extent there may be any other Remaining Personal Property, it will be of no value to the chapter 11 estate. The cost of removing, storing and marketing the Remaining Personal Property would significantly outweigh the value of that property, therefore, the Remaining Personal Property is burdensome to the estate and should be abandoned effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

15

1617

18

19

20

22

21

2324

25 26

27 28 IV.

#### ARGUMENT

### A. Immediate Rejection of the Leases Is Justified

Section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that a trustee, "subject to the court's approval, may assume or reject any executory contract or unexpired lease of the debtor." 11 U.S.C. § 365(a). This provision allows a trustee "to relieve the bankruptcy estate of burdensome agreements which have not been completely performed." *Stewart Title Guar. Co. v. Old Republic Nat'l Title Co.*, 83 F.3d 735, 741 (5th Cir. 1996) (citing In re Muerexco Petroleum, Inc., 15 F.3d 60, 62 (5th Cir. 1994)).

The standard applied to determine whether the rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease should be authorized is the "business judgment" standard. As the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel held in *In re Chi-Feng Huang*, 23 B.R. 798, 800 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982), a trustee may exercise his business judgment to reject executory contracts or unexpired leases that are no longer useful or necessary to the bankruptcy estate. The standard for rejection is satisfied when a trustee has made a business determination that rejection will benefit the estate. *See Commercial Fin. Ltd. v. Haw. Dimensions, Inc.* (In re Haw. Dimensions, Inc.), 47 B.R. 425, 427 (Bankr. D. Haw. 1985) ("[U]nder the business judgment test, a court should approve a debtor's proposed rejection if such rejection will benefit the estate."). In applying the business judgment standard, courts show great deference to the trustee's decision to reject. *See*, e.g., *Summit Land Co. v. Allen* (In re Summit Land Co.), 13 B.R. 310, 315 (Bankr. D. Utah 1981) (noting that, absent extraordinary circumstances, court approval of a debtor's decision to assume or reject an executory contract "should be granted as a matter of course").

Based on the Trustee's knowledge of the Leases and his knowledge of the rental market in the area where the Leased Premises are located, the Trustee does not believe that the estate can obtain any material value by seeking to assume and assign the Leases. This is particularly the case given that the Leased Premises are residential real property (although the Trustee is informed that the Home Office was used as an office), and the estate would be risking the full weight of further possible administrative rent if no assignee materializes for the Leases with no corresponding benefit

to the estate. Prompt rejection will minimize unnecessary administrative costs for the benefit of all creditors of the estate. In the exercise of his business judgment, the Trustee has therefore concluded that rejection of the Leases is in the best interests of the estate.

### B. Abandonment of Remaining Personal Property Is Appropriate

Section 554(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that, "[a]fter notice and a hearing, the trustee may abandon any property of the estate that is burdensome to the estate or that is of inconsequential value and benefit to the estate." 11 U.S.C. § 554(a). In evaluating decisions to abandon property of the estate, courts focus on whether such decision reflects a business judgment made in good faith. See, e.g., In re Cult Awareness Network, Inc., 205 B.R. 575, 579 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1997) (citations omitted); In re Wilson, 94 B.R. 886, 888-889 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 1989); In re Moore, 110 B.R. 924, 928 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 1990) ("The choice of which type of action [is appropriate to liquidate the assets of the estate] (whether it be acceptance of the offer, a counteroffer, negotiation, open bidding, or bringing a formal motion for abandonment) belongs to the trustee within the sound exercise of the trustee's business judgment so long as the trustee fulfills his statutory duties.").

The Trustee believes that the value of the Remaining Personal Property is *de minimis*.

Abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property is appropriate because the cost of moving, storing and marketing the Remaining Personal Property would out strip the value of that property. Further, its abandonment is necessary to eliminate any issues regarding administrative expenses associated with leaving the property at the Premises.

After duly considering such factors, the Trustee has determined, in the exercise of his sound business judgment, that abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property is in the best interests of the Estate and requests that the Court approve abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

2

3

6

5

7 8

9

10

1112

13

14

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

V.

### CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth herein, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter an order (a) granting the Motion, (b) authorizing and approving the rejection of the Leases effective as of the Rejection Effective Date, (c) authorizing and approving the abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property effective as of the Rejection Effective Date and (d) granting such other and further relief as it deems necessary and appropriate.

Dated: April 16, 2014

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

By: /s/ Linda F. Cantor Linda F. Cantor (SBN 153762)

Attorneys for R. Todd Neilson, chapter 11 Trustee

### 

## 

### **DECLARATION OF R. TODD NEILSON**

I, R. Todd Neilson, declare as follows:

- 1. I am the duly appointed chapter 11 trustee (the "Trustee") in the above-captioned bankruptcy case (the "Case"). I make this Declaration on facts within my personal knowledge (albeit my own or that gathered by professionals rendering services to me), or as a result of having reviewed the court file in this Case. If called upon, I can and will competently testify to the facts stated herein.
- 2. I make this Declaration in support of the Expedited Motion of the Chapter 11 Trustee for Order Authorizing (i) Rejection of Two Real Property Leases Located in Newport Beach, California, and (ii) Abandonment of Remaining Personal Property Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 365 and 554 (the "Motion"). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.
- 3. The Debtor is a California corporation. Hannes Tulving, Jr. is the President and sole equity holder of the Debtor. The Debtor was in the business of selling and purchasing gold, silver, coins, bullion, and other precious metals through its internet website or by telephone. Over the past year, customer complaints concerning delayed or undelivered orders were increasingly made to the Better Business Bureau against the Debtor and in early March 20145, a class-action lawsuit was filed against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving, Jr. in the United States District Court, Northern District of California. The Debtor ceased operations on or about March 3, 2014. Shortly before the initiation of these proceedings, a raid was conducted on the business offices of the Debtor by the Secret Service and the Department of Justice and the Debtor's computers and documents were seized for an ongoing criminal investigation.
- 4. On March 10, 2014, the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. In light of the pending criminal investigation and other ongoing litigation, on March 18, 2014, the United States Trustee filed a Stipulation Appointing Chapter 11 Trustee which was signed by both the Debtor and its attorney. The Stipulation was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on March 18, 2014 and an Order was entered by the Court on March 21, 2014, approving my appointment as Trustee of the Debtor's estate.

On March 21, 2014, the Court entered an Order appointing myself as Chapter 11

5.

Trustee of the Debtor's estate [Docket No. 22].

security deposits towards payment of unpaid rental in 2013.

property leases, each dated January 1, 2011, between the Debtor, as tenant, and Levon Gugasian, as landlord, for the Lease Premises located at (i) 2110 ½ W. Oceanfront Boulevard, Newport Beach, California 92663, and (ii) 2112 ½ W. Oceanfront Boulevard, Newport Beach California 92663. I am informed and believe that each of the leases is for a ten-year term, commencing January 1, 2011 and terminating February 28, 2012. The base monthly rental for the Residential Lease is \$5,800 with an additional increase of \$500 per month (i.e., a \$6,000 increase annually). The base monthly rental for the Home Office Lease is \$4,500 with an additional increase of \$500 per month (\$6,000 increase annually). I am informed and believe that the Debtor paid a security deposit to the Landlord in the amount of \$15,000 for each of the Leases. I am informed and believe that the Landlord applied both

- Premises is not in the best interests of the Estate. The Debtor no longer occupies or uses the Leased Premises and the Leased Premises are not necessary for the administration of the Estate. I have determined that the rejection of the Leases, effective as of no later than April 30, 2014, is in the best interests of the estate, in order to avoid the incurrence of additional unnecessary administrative rent of approximately \$10,300 per month. Therefore, I request approval of the rejection of the Leases, which might otherwise become an unnecessary drain on the assets of this estate, with said rejection being effective as of the date that I turn over the keys to the Landlord.
- 8. I do not believe that the Leases hold any value, especially in light of the "carrying costs" the estate would incur during any marketing period and the possibility that no viable assignee would materialize. The base monthly cost of the Leases to the estate is approximately \$10,300, which amounts could be treated as administrative expenses if the Leases are not rejected in a timely manner.
- 9. I further request to abandon any Remaining Personal Property belonging to the Debtor remaining at the Leased Premises as of the Rejection Effective Date, with the abandonment

being effective as of the Rejection Effective Date. By no later than the Rejection Effective Date, all
personal property of any value to the estate will have been removed from the Leased Premises. The
personal property that remains at the Leased Premises after such removal primarily will be furniture.
To the extent there may be any other Remaining Personal Property, it will be of no value to the
chapter 11 estate. I believe that the value of the Remaining Personal Property is de minimis.
Abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property is appropriate because the cost of moving, storing
and marketing the Remaining Personal Property would out strip the value of that property. Further,
its abandonment is necessary to eliminate any issues regarding administrative expenses associated
with leaving the property at the Premises.

10. After duly considering such factors, I have determined, in the exercise of my sound business judgment that abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property is in the best interests of the Estate and request that the Court approve abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 16th day of April, 2014, at Los Angeles California.

R. Todd Neilson

relio

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

26

28

### PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, 13th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document APPLICATION FOR ORDER SHORTENING NOTICE PERIOD FOR HEARING ON CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE'S EXPEDITED MOTION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING (1) REJECTION OF REAL PROPERTY LEASES LOCATED IN NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA, AND (2) ABANDONMENT OF REMAINING PERSONAL PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 365 AND 554 will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On April 16, 2014, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

> Service information continued on attached page  $\boxtimes$

2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

On April 16, 2014, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

> Service information continued on attached page X

3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on April 16, 2014, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Via Federal Express The Honorable Erithe A. Smith United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Ronald Reagan Federal Building and Courthouse 411 West Fourth Street, Suite 5040 / Courtroom 5A Santa Ana, CA 92701-4593

Service information continued on attack	ied page
---	----------

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

> /s/ Janice G. Washington Janice G. Washington Printed Name

April 16, 2014 Date

Signature

1	1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA
2	NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF):
3	8:14-bk-11492-ES Notice will be
4	electronically mailed to:
5	Andrew S Bisom on behalf of Debtor The Tulving Company Inc
6	abisom@bisomlaw.com
7	Candice Bryner on behalf of Interested Party Candice Bryner
8	candice@brynerlaw.com
9	Stephen L Burton on behalf of Attorney Stephen L. Burton
10	steveburtonlaw@aol.com
11	Linda F Cantor, ESQ on behalf of Trustee R. Todd Neilson (TR)
12	lcantor@pszjlaw.com, lcantor@pszjlaw.com
13	Nancy S Goldenberg on behalf of U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (SA)
14	nancy.goldenberg@usdoj.gov
15	Lawrence J Hilton on behalf of Creditor Jeffrey Roth
16	lhilton@oneil-llp.com, ssimmons@oneil- llp.com;kdonahue@oneil-llp.com
17	Matthew B Learned on behalf of Interested
18	Party Courtesy NEF bknotice@mccarthyholthus.com
19	Elizabeth A Lossing on behalf of U.S. Trustee
20	United States Trustee (SA) elizabeth.lossing@usdoj.gov
21	R. Todd Neilson (TR)
22	tneilson@brg-expert.com, sgreenan@brg-expert.com;tneilson@ecf.epiqsystems.com;ntr
23	oszak@brg-expert.com
24	Gary A Pemberton on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF
25	gpemberton@shbllp.com, tlenz@shbllp.com
26	Robert J Pfister on behalf of Interested Party
27	Courtesy NEF rpfister@ktbslaw.com
28	Michael B Reynolds on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF

mreynolds@swlaw.com, kcollins@swlaw.com

United States Trustee (SA) ustpregion16.sa.ecf@usdoj.gov

### 2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL

The Tulving Company Inc P.O. Box 6200 Newport Beach, CA 92658

Counsel for Debtor Andrew S Bisom The Bisom Law Group 8001 Irvine Center Drive, Ste. 1170 Irvine, CA 92618

Trustee R. Todd Neilson (TR) BRG, LLP 2049 Century Park East **Suite 2525** Los Angeles, CA 90067

U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (SA) 411 W Fourth St., Suite 9041 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4593

Counsel for U.S. Trustee Nancy S Goldenberg 411 W Fourth St Ste 9041 Santa Ana, CA 92701-8000

Laurence P Nokes on behalf of Interested Party John Frankel Nokes & Quinn 410 Broadway St Ste 200 Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Accountants for Landlord Brent Murdoch Murdoch & Morris, LLP 114 Pacifica, Ste. 320 Irvine, CA 92618

William C Berry PO Box 686 Carlsborg, WA 98324

Gary A. Pemberton Shulman Hodges & Bastian LLP 8105 Irvine Center Drive, #600 Irvine, CA 92618