22

23

24

25

26

27

1 2 3 4 5 6	Linda F. Cantor (CA Bar No. 153762) PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13 <sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: 310-277-6910 Facsimile: 310-201-0760 E-mail: lcantor@pszjlaw.com	1	
7	Trustee for The Tulving Company, Inc.		
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SANTA ANA DIVISION		
11	In re:	Case No.: 8:14-bk-11492-ES	
12	THE TULVING COMPANY, INC., a California	Chapter 11	
13	corporation,	DECLARATION OF LINDA F. CANTOR RE: NON-OPPOSITION OR REQUEST FOR	
14	Debtor.	HEARING ON THE MOTION OF THE CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE FOR ORDER	
15		AUTHORIZING (1) REJECTION OF NON- RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY LEASE	
16		LOCATED IN COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA, AND (2) ABANDONMENT	
17		OF REMAINING PERSONAL PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 365 AND 554,	
18		PURSUANT TO LOCAL BANKRUPTCY RULE 9013-1(O)(3)	
19			
20	I, Linda F. Cantor, declare and state as foll	ows:	

- 1. I am an attorney at law, duly licensed and entitled to practice before all courts in the State of California and before this Court. I am a partner with the law firm of Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP ("PSZJ"), proposed counsel to R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 11 Trustee for The Tulving Company, Inc. (the "Trustee").
- I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 3. On May 6, 2014, on behalf of the Trustee my office filed and served the motion entitled Motion Of The Chapter 11 Trustee For Order Authorizing (1) Rejection Of Non-Residential

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Real Property Lease Located In Costa Mesa, California, And (2) Abandonment Of Remaining
Personal Property Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 365 And 554; Memorandum Of Points And
Authorities; Declaration Of R. Todd Neilson In Support Thereof [Docket No. 89] (the "Motion")
seeking to have said non-residential real property lease located in Costa Mesa, California rejected
and the abandonment of any remaining personal property. A copy of the Motion, the Notice of
Motion and proof of service of same are appended hereto as <b>Exhibit A</b> .

- 4. The Notice specifically stated that any response or objection to the Motion and request for hearing must be filed with the Court and served on proposed counsel to the Trustee within fourteen (14) days of service of the Notice in accordance with Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(o)(1).
- 5. Fourteen days have passed since the filing and service of the Notice and the Motion and, as of the date of this Declaration, to the best of my knowledge after due diligence, PSZJ has not received any response and request for a hearing on the Motion, and there is no such response and request for a hearing on the Motion reflected on the Court's docket.
- 6. Based on the foregoing, the Trustee respectfully requests that this Court enter an order approving the Motion, rejecting the real property lease located in Costa Mesa, California, and ordering the abandonment of any remaining personal property pursuant to the Motion.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May, 2014, at Los Angeles, California

/s/ Linda F. Cantor Linda F. Cantor

# Exhibit A

## MOTION

	ase 8:14-bk-11492-ES Delig Document 05/06	714 Entered 05/23/14 18.22.56 Desc Page 5 net red 05/06/14 17:33:27 Desc Page 1 of 15	
1	Linda F. Cantor (CA Bar No. 153762) PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13 <sup>th</sup> Floor		
2 3	Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: 310-277-6910		
4	Facsimile: 310-201-0760 E-mail: lcantor@pszjlaw.com		
5	Z man. romror especial moon.		
6	Proposed Counsel for R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 1 Trustee for The Tulving Company, Inc.	1	
7	TIMITURES OF A TURE D	A NIZDIIDTON COUDT	
8		ANKRUPTCY COURT  CT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		A DIVISION	
11	In re:	Case No.: 8:14-bk-11492-ES	
12		Chapter 11	
13	THE TULVING COMPANY, INC., a California corporation,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION OF	
14	Debtor.	THE CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING (1) REJECTION OF NON-	
15		RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY LEASE LOCATED IN COSTA MESA,	
16		CALIFORNIA, AND (2) ABANDONMENT OF REMAINING PERSONAL PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 365 AND 554;	
17		MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; DECLARATION OF R.	
18		TODD NEILSON IN SUPPORT THEREOF	
19		[No Hearing Required Per L.B.R. 9013-1(O)]	
20	TO: THE HONORABLE ERITHE A. SMIT		
21	THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE; AT	UNSEL, THE LANDLORD, THE OFFICE OF ND PARTIES REQUESTING SPECIAL	
22	NOTICE:		
24	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that R. Todd	Neilson, the duly appointed chapter 11 trustee (the	
25	"Trustee") in the above-captioned bankruptcy case of The Tulving Company (the "Debtor"), hereby		
26	moves (the "Motion") the Court for entry of an order authorizing and approving the rejection of that		
27	• • •	anuary 1, 2011, between the Debtor, as tenant, and	
28	Levon Gugasian, as landlord (the "Landlord"), for	time premises (me "Leased Fremises") located at	
		1	

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

150 West 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Unit A, Costa Mesa, California (the "Lease"), with said rejection being effective (the "Rejection Effective Date") as of the earlier of: (a) the date that the Trustee turns over the keys to the Landlord, or (b) May 20, 2014; and (2) the abandonment of any personal property (the "Remaining Personal Property") belonging to the Debtor remaining at the Leased Premises as of the Rejection Effective Date, with the abandonment being effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

The Debtor no longer occupies or uses the Leased Premises and the Trustee has no need for the Lease in the administration of the estate. Based on his review, the Trustee does not believe that the Lease has any value to the estate and, in fact, believes that the Lease is burdensome to the estate. In order to minimize any further administrative rent obligations of the Debtor's chapter 11 estate and to maximize the estate for the creditors, the Trustee has determined that rejection of the Lease is in the best interest of the estate.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Trustee, by no later than the Rejection Effective Date, will have removed all personal property of any value to the estate from the Leased Premises. The personal property that remains at the Leased Premises after such removal will be de minimis. To the extent there may be any other Remaining Personal Property, it will be of no value to the chapter 11 estate. The cost of removing, storing and marketing the Remaining Personal Property would significantly outweigh the value of that property therefore, the Remaining Personal Property is burdensome to the estate and should be abandoned effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Motion is based on and supported by this Notice, the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the accompanying Declaration of R. Todd Neilson, and the arguments of counsel, and other admissible evidence properly brought before the Court at or before any hearing on this Motion. The Trustee further requests that the Court take judicial notice of all other pleadings filed in the above-captioned chapter 11 Case.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(o) any responses or objections to approval of the Motion by the Court must be filed with the Court and served on counsel to the Trustee within 14 days of service of this Notice. If no objections or other responses are timely received, the Trustee will submit a proposed order under certification of

	se 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 95 Filed 05/23/14 Entered 05/23/14 18:22:56 Desc ase 8:14-bk-11492-ES <b>Doc 18:9</b> 0		
1	counsel requesting approval of the Motion without the need of a hearing. In the event there is an		
2	objection or other response contesting the entry of an order approving the Motion, the Trustee will		
3	schedule a hearing subject to the Court's availability and file a separate notice of such hearing date		
4	and time.		
5	PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Trustee will serve this Notice and Motion		
6	on: (a) the Debtor and its counsel, (b) the Office of the United States Trustee, (c) the Landlord and		
7	its counsel, and (d) the parties that file with the Court requests for notice of all matters in accordance		
8	with Bankruptcy Rule 2002.		
9	PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the failure to timely respond in the manner set		
10	forth above by the dates indicated above may result in the Court approving the Motion or refusing to		
11	consider any late-filed response or opposition.		
12	WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order (i) granting		
13	the Motion, (ii) authorizing and approving the rejection of the Lease effective as of the Rejection		
14	Effective Date, (iii) authorizing and approving the abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property		
15	effective as of the Rejection Effective Date and (iv) granting such other and further relief as it deems		
16	necessary and appropriate.		
17	Dated: May 6, 2014 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP		
18	Dry /s/ Linda F. Cantor		
19	By: /s/ Linda F. Cantor Linda F. Cantor (SBN 153872)		
20	Proposed Attorneys for R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 11 Trustee		
21	11 Trustee		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

Doc 95 Filed 05/23/14 Entered 05/23/14 18:22:56 Desc ው መደመው የተመደው 05/06/14 17:33:27 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 15

2

1

3

**4** 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

1.

14 15

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

#### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

#### INTRODUCTION

In order to avoid incurring unnecessary administrative expenses for the Debtor's chapter 11 estate (the "Estate"), the Trustee filed the attached Notice of Motion and Motion of the Chapter 11 Trustee for Order Authorizing (i) Rejection of Non-Residential Real Property Lease Located in Costa Mesa California, and (ii) Abandonment of Remaining Personal Property Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 365 and 554 (the "Motion") seeking an order of the Court authorizing and approving the rejection of that certain non-residential real property lease located in Costa Mesa, as described below, and the abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property. The Debtor no longer occupies or uses the Leased Premises and the Trustee has no need for the Leased Premises in the administration of the estate. Based upon the Trustee's review, the Lease has no value to the estate and the Trustee, therefore, has determined that the rejection of the Lease and the abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property is in the best interest of the estate.

II.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This matter relates to the administration of the estate and is accordingly a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A) and (O). Venue of this case is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicate for the relief requested herein are sections 365(a) and 554(a) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code").

III.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

#### A. The Background of the Debtor's Business

The Debtor is a California corporation. Hannes Tulving, Jr. is the President and sole equity holder of the Debtor. The Debtor was in the business of selling and purchasing gold, silver, coins, bullion, and other precious metals through its internet website or by phone. Over the past year, customer complaints against the Debtor concerning delayed or undelivered orders were increasingly

of the Debtor by the Secret Service and the Department of Justice and the Debtor's computers,

11 of the Bankruptcy Code on March 10, 2014. In light of the pending criminal investigation and

Chapter 11 Trustee [Docket No. 15] ("Stipulation"), which was signed by both the Debtor and its

other ongoing litigation, on March 18, 2014, the United States Trustee filed a Stipulation Appointing

The Debtor commenced this case by the filing of a voluntary petition for relief under chapter

made to the Better Business Bureau as well as various law enforcement agencies. In early March,
2 | 2014, a class-action lawsuit was filed against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving, Jr. in the United States
3 | District Court, Northern District of California. The Debtor ceased operations on or about March 3,
4 | 2014. Shortly before the initiation of these proceedings, a raid was conducted at the business offices

6 documents and inventory were seized for an ongoing criminal investigation.

B.

5

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

1516

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

\_ -

25

2627

28

attorney. The Stipulation was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on March 18, 2014 [Docket No. 16] and an Order was entered by the Court on March 21, 2014 approving the *U.S. Trustee's Application for the Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee*, appointing R. Todd Neilson as Trustee of the Debtor's estate [Docket No. 22].

C. The Lease

**Procedural Background of Case** 

The Debtor is a party to, a non-residential real property lease, dated January 1, 2011, between the Debtor, as tenant, and Levon Gugasian, as landlord (the "Landlord"), for the premises (the "Leased Premises") located at 150 West 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Unit A, Costa Mesa, California (the "Lease"). The lease is for a ten-year term, commencing January 1, 2011 and terminating February 28, 2021. The base monthly rental for the Lease is \$30,000 plus an added \$1,000 per month for other expenses. The Debtor paid a security deposit to the Landlord in the amount of \$30,000 for the Lease. The Trustee is informed and believes that the Landlord applied the security deposit towards payment of unpaid rent in 2013.

### D. The Rejection of the Lease

The Trustee has determined in his business judgment that continued occupancy of the Leased Premises is not in the best interests of the estate. The Debtor no longer occupies or uses the Leased Premises and the Leased Premises are not necessary for the Trustee's administration of the estate.

9

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

23

24

25

26 27

28

The Trustee has determined that the rejection of the Lease is in the best interests of the estate so that it can avoid the incurrence of additional unnecessary administrative rent, which is no less than \$31,000 per month. The Trustee is, therefore, seeking approval of the rejection of the Lease, which might otherwise become an unnecessary drain on the assets of this estate, with said rejection being effective (the "Rejection Effective Date") as of the earlier of: (a) date that the Trustee turns over the keys to the Landlord, or (b) May 20, 2014.

The Trustee does not believe that the Lease holds any value, especially in light of the "carrying costs" the estate would incur during any marketing period and the possibility that no viable assignee would materialize. The base monthly cost of the Lease to the estate is approximately \$31,000, which amounts could be treated as an administrative expenses if the Lease is not rejected in a timely manner.

#### E. The Abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property

By the Motion, the Trustee seeks to abandon any personal property (the "Remaining Personal Property") belonging to the Debtor remaining at the Leased Premises as of the Rejection Effective Date, with the abandonment being effective as of the Rejection Effective Date. On May 2, 2014, the Court entered an order (the "Sale Order") granting the Expedited Motion of Chapter 11 Trustee for Order: (1) Approving the Employment of Van Horn Auctions Appraisal Group, LLC as Auctioneer for the Estate Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 327 and 328(A); (2) Authorizing the Sale of Estate Property at Public Auction Outside the Ordinary Course of Business Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 363(B); (3) Authorizing Payment of Costs and Allowing Compensation; and (4) Waiving 14-Day Stay Period (the "Sale Motion") [Docket No. 83]. The Sale Order authorized the Trustee, among other things, to auction the personal property located at the Premises. The Trustee, by no later than the Rejection Effective Date, will have removed all personal property of any value to the estate from the Leased Premises. The personal property that remains at the Leased Premises after such removal will be de minimis. To the extent there may be any other Remaining Personal Property, it will be of no value to the chapter 11 estate. The cost of removing, storing and marketing the Remaining Personal Property would significantly outweigh the value of that property, therefore, the Remaining

Effective Date.

1

3

4

5

7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

27

28

IV.

Personal Property is burdensome to the estate and should be abandoned effective as of the Rejection

#### **ARGUMENT**

#### A. Immediate Rejection of the Lease Is Justified

Section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that a trustee, "subject to the court's approval, may assume or reject any executory contract or unexpired lease of the debtor." 11 U.S.C. § 365(a). This provision allows a trustee "to relieve the bankruptcy estate of burdensome agreements which have not been completely performed." *Stewart Title Guar. Co. v. Old Republic Nat'l Title Co.*, 83 F.3d 735, 741 (5th Cir. 1996) (citing <u>In re Muerexco Petroleum, Inc.</u>, 15 F.3d 60, 62 (5th Cir. 1994)).

The standard applied to determine whether the rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease should be authorized is the "business judgment" standard. As the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel held in *In re Chi-Feng Huang*, 23 B.R. 798, 800 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982), a trustee may exercise his business judgment to reject executory contracts or unexpired leases that are no longer useful or necessary to the bankruptcy estate. The standard for rejection is satisfied when a trustee has made a business determination that rejection will benefit the estate. *See Commercial Fin. Ltd. v. Haw. Dimensions, Inc.* (In re Haw. Dimensions, Inc.), 47 B.R. 425, 427 (Bankr. D. Haw. 1985) ("[U]nder the business judgment test, a court should approve a debtor's proposed rejection if such rejection will benefit the estate."). In applying the business judgment standard, courts show great deference to the trustee's decision to reject. *See*, e.g., *Summit Land Co. v. Allen* (In re Summit Land Co.), 13 B.R. 310, 315 (Bankr. D. Utah 1981) (noting that, absent extraordinary circumstances, court approval of a debtor's decision to assume or reject an executory contract "should be granted as a matter of course").

Based on the Trustee's knowledge of the Lease and his knowledge of the rental market in the area where the Leased Premises are located, the Trustee does not believe that the estate can obtain any material value by seeking to assume and assign the Lease. The estate would be risking the full weight of further possible administrative rent if no assignee materializes for the Lease with no

9

10

11 12

13 14

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

corresponding benefit to the estate. Prompt rejection will minimize unnecessary administrative costs for the benefit of all creditors of the estate. In the exercise of his business judgment, the Trustee has therefore concluded that rejection of the Lease is in the best interests of the estate.

Page 8 of 15

#### В. Abandonment of Remaining Personal Property Is Appropriate

Main Document

Section 554(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that, "[a]fter notice and a hearing, the trustee may abandon any property of the estate that is burdensome to the estate or that is of inconsequential value and benefit to the estate." 11 U.S.C. § 554(a). In evaluating decisions to abandon property of the estate, courts focus on whether such decision reflects a business judgment made in good faith. See, e.g., In re Cult Awareness Network, Inc., 205 B.R. 575, 579 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1997) (citations omitted); In re Wilson, 94 B.R. 886, 888-889 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 1989); In re Moore, 110 B.R. 924, 928 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 1990) ("The choice of which type of action [is appropriate to liquidate the assets of the estate] (whether it be acceptance of the offer, a counteroffer, negotiation, open bidding, or bringing a formal motion for abandonment) belongs to the trustee within the sound exercise of the trustee's business judgment so long as the trustee fulfills his statutory duties.").

The Trustee believes that the value of the Remaining Personal Property is de minimis. Abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property is appropriate because the cost of moving, storing and marketing the Remaining Personal Property would out strip the value of that property. Further, its abandonment is necessary to eliminate any issues regarding administrative expenses associated with leaving the property at the Premises.

After duly considering such factors, the Trustee has determined, in the exercise of his sound business judgment, that abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property is in the best interests of the estate and requests that the Court approve abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

10 11 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Attorneys at Law Los Angeles, California 12 13 14 15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 95 Filed 05/23/14 Entered 05/23/14 18:22:56 Desc Mains Do O CHIENE 105/06 Plage £ At € 1605/06/14 17:33:27 Desc dase 8:14-bk-11492-ES Main Document Page 9 of 15

V.

#### CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth herein, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter an order (a) granting the Motion, (b) authorizing and approving the rejection of the Lease effective as of the Rejection Effective Date, (c) authorizing and approving the abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property effective as of the Rejection Effective Date, and (d) granting such other and further relief as it deems necessary and appropriate.

Dated: May 6, 2014

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

By: /s/ Linda F. Cantor Linda F. Cantor (SBN 153762)

> Proposed Attorneys for R. Todd Neilson, chapter 11 Trustee

4

7

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

16 17

18

19 20

22

21

2324

25

26

27

#### **DECLARATION OF R. TODD NEILSON**

I, R. Todd Neilson, declare as follows:

- 1. I am the duly appointed chapter 11 trustee (the "Trustee") in the above-captioned bankruptcy case (the "Case"). I make this Declaration on facts within my personal knowledge (albeit my own or that gathered by professionals rendering services to me), or as a result of having reviewed the court file in this Case. If called upon, I can and will competently testify to the facts stated herein.
- 2. I make this Declaration in support of the Notice of Motion and Motion of the Chapter 11 Trustee for Order Authorizing (i) Rejection of Real Property Leases Located in Costa Mesa, California, and (ii) Abandonment of Remaining Personal Property Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 365 and 554 (the "Motion"). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.
- 3. The Debtor had been in the business of selling and purchasing gold, silver, coins, bullion, and other precious metals through its internet website or by phone. Over the past year, customer complaints against the Debtor concerning delayed or undelivered orders were increasingly made to the Better Business Bureau as well as various law enforcement agencies. In early March, 2014, a class-action lawsuit was filed against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving, Jr. in the United States District Court, Northern District of California. The Debtor ceased operations on or about March 3, 2014. Shortly before the initiation of these proceedings, a raid was conducted at the business offices of the Debtor by the Secret Service and the Department of Justice and the Debtor's computers, documents and inventory were seized for an ongoing criminal investigation..
- 4. On March 10, 2014, the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. In light of the pending criminal investigation and other ongoing litigation, on March 18, 2014, the United States Trustee filed a Stipulation Appointing Chapter 11 Trustee which was signed by both the Debtor and its attorney. The Stipulation was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on March 18, 2014 and an Order was entered by the Court on March 21, 2014, approving my appointment as Trustee of the Debtor's estate [Docket No. 22].

Desc

Desc

- 5. I am informed and believe that the Debtor is a party to, among others, that certain non-residential real property lease, dated January 1, 2011, between the Debtor, as tenant, and Levon Gugasian, as landlord (the "Landlord"), for the premises (the "Leased Premises") located at 150 West 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Unit A, Costa Mesa, California (the "Lease"). I am informed and believe that the lease is for a ten-year term, commencing January 1, 2011 and terminating February 28, 2021, and that the base monthly rental for the Lease is \$30,000 plus an added \$1,000 per month for other expenses. I am informed and believe that the Debtor paid a security deposit to the Landlord in the amount of \$30,000 for the Lease. I am informed and believe that the Landlord applied the security deposit towards payment of unpaid rent in 2013.
- 6. I have determined in my business judgment that continued occupancy of the Leased Premises is not in the best interests of the estate. The Debtor no longer occupies or uses the Leased Premises and the Leased Premises are not necessary for the administration of the estate. I have determined that the rejection of the Lease is in the best interests of the estate, in order to avoid the incurrence of additional unnecessary administrative rent of approximately \$31,000 per month. Therefore, I request approval of the rejection of the Lease, which might otherwise become an unnecessary drain on the assets of this estate, with said rejection being effective as of the earlier of:

  (a) date that I turn over the keys to the Landlord, or (b) May 20, 2014.
- 7. I do not believe that the Lease holds any value, especially in light of the "carrying costs" the estate would incur during any marketing period and the possibility that no viable assignee would materialize. The base monthly cost of the Lease to the estate is approximately \$31,000, which amount could be treated as an administrative expense if the Lease is not rejected in a timely manner.
- 8. I further request to abandon any Remaining Personal Property belonging to the Debtor remaining at the Leased Premises as of the Rejection Effective Date, with the abandonment being effective as of the Rejection Effective Date. On May 2, 2014, the Court entered an order (the "Sale Order") granting the Expedited Motion of Chapter 11 Trustee for Order: (1) Approving the Employment of Van Horn Auctions Appraisal Group, LLC as Auctioneer for the Estate Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 327 and 328(A); (2) Authorizing the Sale of Estate Property at Public Auction

Outside the Ordinary Course of Business Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 363(B); (3) Authorizing
Payment of Costs and Allowing Compensation; and (4) Waiving 14-Day Stay Period (the "Sale
Motion") [Docket No.83]. The Sale Order authorized the Trustee, among other things, to auction the
personal property located at the Premises. By no later than the Rejection Effective Date, all personal
property of any value to the estate will have been removed from the Leased Premises. The personal
property that remains at the Leased Premises after such removal primarily will be de minimis. To
the extent there may be any other Remaining Personal Property, it will be of no value to the chapter
11 estate. I believe that the value of the Remaining Personal Property is de minimis. Abandonment
of the Remaining Personal Property is appropriate because the cost of moving, storing and marketing
the Remaining Personal Property would out strip the value of that property. Further, its
abandonment is necessary to eliminate any issues regarding administrative expenses associated with
leaving the property at the Premises.

9. After duly considering such factors, I have determined, in the exercise of my sound business judgment that abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property is in the best interests of the estate and request that the Court approve abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this blood day of May, 2014, at Los Angeles California.

R. Todd Neilson

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Date

Printed Name

Signature

13

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067 A true and correct copy of the foregoing document NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION OF THE CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING (1) REJECTION OF NON-RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY LEASE LOCATED IN COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA, AND (2) ABANDONMENT OF REMAINING PERSONAL PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 365 AND 554; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; **DECLARATION OF R. TODD NEILSON IN SUPPORT THEREOF** will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below: 1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On May 6, 2014, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below: M Service information continued on attached page 2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL: On May 6, 2014, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed. Service information continued on attached page 3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on May 6, 2014, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed. Via Federal Express The Honorable Erithe A. Smith United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Ronald Reagan Federal Building and Courthouse 411 West Fourth Street, Suite 5040 / Courtroom 5A Santa Ana, CA 92701-4593 П Service information continued on attached page I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. /s/ Janice G. Washington May 6, 2014 Janice G. Washington

Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 95 Filed 05/23/14 Entered 05/23/14 18:22:56 Desc Main Document Page 18 of 33

## PROOF OF SERVICE OF MOTION

11	ase 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 95 Filed 05/23/14 Entered 05/23/14 18:22:56 Desc ase 8:14-bk-11492-ES Desc Desc		
	Main Document Page 14 of 15		
1	1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF):		
2	8:14-bk-11492-ES Notice will be electronically mailed to:		
3	Andrew S Bisom on behalf of Debtor The Tulving Company Inc abisom@bisomlaw.com		
4	Candice Bryner on behalf of Interested Party Candice Bryner		
5	candice@brynerlaw.com		
6	Stephen L Burton on behalf of Attorney Stephen L. Burton steveburtonlaw@aol.com		
7 8	Linda F Cantor, ESQ on behalf of Trustee R. Todd Neilson (TR) lcantor@pszjlaw.com, lcantor@pszjlaw.com		
9	Nancy S Goldenberg on behalf of U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (SA) nancy.goldenberg@usdoj.gov		
11	Lawrence J Hilton on behalf of Creditor Jeffrey Roth lhilton@oneil-llp.com, ssimmons@oneil-llp.com;kdonahue@oneil-llp.com		
12	Matthew B Learned on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF bknotice@mccarthyholthus.com		
13   14	Elizabeth A Lossing on behalf of U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (SA) elizabeth.lossing@usdoj.gov		
15 16	R. Todd Neilson (TR) tneilson@brg-expert.com; tneilson@ecf.epiqsystems.com; ntroszak@brg-expert.com		
17	Gary A Pemberton on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF gpemberton@shbllp.com, tlenz@shbllp.com		
18	Robert J Pfister on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF rpfister@ktbslaw.com		
20	Michael B Reynolds on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF mreynolds@swlaw.com, kcollins@swlaw.com		
21	United States Trustee (SA)		
22	ustpregion16.sa.ecf@usdoj.gov		
23	2. <u>SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL</u> :		
24	The Tulving Company Inc		
25	P.O. Box 6200 Newport Beach, CA 92658		
26 27	Counsel for Debtor Andrew S Bisom		
28	The Bisom Law Group 8001 Irvine Center Drive, Ste. 1170 Irvine, CA 92618		

DOCS\_LA:277435.2 59935/001

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

		ase 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 95 Filed 05/23/14 Entered 05/23/14 18:22:56 Desc ase 8:14-bk-11492-ES മ്മാര് ഉഴുപ്പുള്ള വർഗ്ഗം വർഗ്ശം
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ase 8:14-bk-11492-ES മിഷ്ട്രേയ വെട്ടു വെട്ടു ക്യൂട്ട് മുറ്റ് പ്രാവാധ വാധ വാധ വാധ വാധ വാധ വാധ വാധ വാധ വാധ
	12	
	12	Laguna Beach, CA 92651
ORNEYS AT LAW GELES, CALIFORNIA	13	Brent Murdoch
	14	114 Pacifica, Ste. 320
Los An	15	
	16	Frye & Hsieh
	17	24955 Pacific Coast Highway # A201
	18	
	19	Nanette D. Sanders, Esq.
	20	2030 Main Street
	21	Irvine, CA 92614
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	

Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 95 Filed 05/23/14 Entered 05/23/14 18:22:56 Desc Main Document Page 21 of 33

# NOTICE

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

4

1

2

5 6

7

8

9 10

12 13

14

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

the earlier of: (a) the date that the Trustee turns over the keys to the Landlord, or (b) May 20, 2014; and (2) the abandonment of any personal property (the "Remaining Personal Property") belonging to the Debtor remaining at the Leased Premises as of the Rejection Effective Date, with the abandonment being effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

The grounds for the Motion are that the Debtor no longer occupies or uses the Leased Premises and the Trustee has no need for the Lease in the administration of the estate. Based on his review, the Trustee does not believe that the Lease has any value to the estate and, in fact, believes that the Lease is burdensome to the estate. In order to minimize any further administrative rent obligations of the Debtor's chapter 11 estate and to maximize the estate for the creditors, the Trustee has determined that rejection of the Lease is in the best interest of the estate.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Trustee, by no later than the Rejection Effective Date, will have removed all personal property of any value to the estate from the Leased Premises. The personal property that remains at the Leased Premises after such removal will be de minimis. To the extent there may be any other Remaining Personal Property, it will be of no value to the chapter 11 estate. The cost of removing, storing and marketing the Remaining Personal Property would significantly outweigh the value of that property therefore, the Remaining Personal Property is burdensome to the estate and should be abandoned effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Motion is based on and supported by this Notice of Motion, the concurrently filed Motion with accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Declaration of R. Todd Neilson in Support thereof (collectively, the "Motion Pleadings"), as well as the arguments of counsel, and other admissible evidence properly brought before the Court at or before any hearing on this Motion. If you wish to receive a copy of the Motion Pleadings, please contact the office of proposed counsel for the Trustee, attn.: Patricia Jefferies by phone at (310) 277-6910 or by e-mail at pieffries@pszilaw.com.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(o) any responses or objections to approval of the Motion by the Court must be filed with the Court and served on counsel to the Trustee within 14 days of service of this Notice. If no objections or other responses are timely received, the Trustee will submit a proposed order under certification of

Desc Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 95 Filed 05/23/14 Entered 05/23/14 18:22:56 Main Documents/06/149e24e1e3305/06/14 17:35:49 dase 8:14-bk-11492-ES Desc Main Document Page 3 of 8 counsel requesting approval of the Motion without the need of a hearing. In the event there is an 1 2 objection or other response contesting the entry of an order approving the Motion, the Trustee will schedule a hearing subject to the Court's availability and file a separate notice of such hearing date 3 and time. 4 PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the failure to timely respond in the manner set 5 forth above by the dates indicated above may result in the Court approving the Motion or refusing to 6 consider any late-filed response or opposition. 7 8 9 Dated: May 6, 2014 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 10 By: /s/ Linda F. Cantor 11 Linda F. Cantor (SBN 153872) 12 Proposed Attorneys for R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 11 Trustee 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 95 Filed 05/23/14 Entered 05/23/14 18:22:56 Desc Main Document Page 25 of 33

## PROOF OF SERVICE OF NOTICE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 95 Filed 05/23/14 Entered 05/23/14 18:22:56 Main Portuge 05/06 Plage 2 Refe 305/06/14 17:35:49 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 8

#### PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document NOTICE OF MOTION OF THE CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING (1) REJECTION OF NON-RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY LEASE LOCATED IN COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA, AND (2) ABANDONMENT OF REMAINING PERSONAL PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 365 AND 554 will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner

required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On May 6, 2014, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

> $\boxtimes$ Service information continued on attached page

#### 2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

On May 6, 2014, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

> $\boxtimes$ Service information continued on attached page

#### 3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE

TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on May 6, 2014, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Via Federal Express

The Honorable Erithe A. Smith United States Bankruptcy Court

Central District of California

Ronald Reagan Federal Building and Courthouse

411 West Fourth Street, Suite 5040 / Courtroom 5A

Santa Ana, CA 92701-4593

Date

Service information continued on attached page

Signature

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Printed Name

May 6, 2014 Janice G. Washington /s/ Janice G. Washington

27

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

27

24 25

26 27

28

#### PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, 13th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document **DECLARATION OF LINDA F. CANTOR** OF NON-OPPOSITION TO MOTION OF THE CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING (1) REJECTION OF NON-RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY LEASE LOCATED IN COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA, AND (2) ABANDONMENT OF REMAINING PERSONAL PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 365 AND 554, PURSUANT TO LOCAL BANKRUPTCY RULE 9013-1(O)(3) will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

#### 1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF):

Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On May 23, 2014, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

> $\boxtimes$ Service information continued on attached page

#### 2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

On May 23, 2014, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

> $\boxtimes$ Service information continued on attached page

### 3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE

**TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL** (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on May 23, 2014, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

#### Via Federal Express

The Honorable Erithe A. Smith

United States Bankruptcy Court

Central District of California

Ronald Reagan Federal Building and Courthouse 411 West Fourth Street, Suite 5040 / Courtroom 5A

Santa Ana, CA 92701-4593

Service information continued on attached page

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

May 23, 2014 Megan Wertz /s/ Megan Wertz Date Printed Name Signature

ACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LL	ATTORNEYS AT LAW	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
ACHULSKI STANG ZIEHI	ATTORNEYS AT I	LOS ANGELES, CALII

1	1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF):
2	8:14-bk-11492-ES Notice will be electronically mailed to:
3	Andrew S Bisom abisom@bisomlaw.com
4	Candice Bryner candice@brynerlaw.com
7	<ul> <li>Stephen L Burton steveburtonlaw@aol.com</li> <li>Linda F Cantor lcantor@pszjlaw.com, lcantor@pszjlaw.com</li> </ul>
5	<ul> <li>Linda F Cantor   Icantor@pszjlaw.com, Icantor@pszjlaw.com</li> <li>Nancy S Goldenberg   nancy.goldenberg@usdoj.gov</li> </ul>
6	• Lawrence J Hilton hilton@oneil-llp.com, ssimmons@oneil-llp.com;kdonahue@oneil-
7	llp.com
′	<ul><li>John H Kim jkim@cookseylaw.com</li><li>Matthew B Learned bknotice@mccarthyholthus.com</li></ul>
8	Elizabeth A Lossing elizabeth.lossing@usdoj.gov
9	R. Todd Neilson (TR) tneilson@brg-expert.com, sgreenan@brg-
	expert.com;tneilson@ecf.epiqsystems.com;ntroszak@brg-expert.com
10	<ul> <li>Gary A Pemberton gpemberton@shbllp.com, hdillion@shbllp.com;tlenz@shbllp.com</li> <li>Robert J Pfister rpfister@ktbslaw.com</li> </ul>
11	Michael B Reynolds mreynolds@swlaw.com, kcollins@swlaw.com
12	Nanette D Sanders becky@ringstadlaw.com
	United States Trustee (SA) ustpregion16.sa.ecf@usdoj.gov
13	
14	2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:
15	Debtor
16	The Tulving Company Inc
	P.O. Box 6200 Newport Beach, CA 92658
17	
18	Counsel for Debtor Andrew S Bisom
19	The Bisom Law Group
	8001 Irvine Center Drive, Ste. 1170 Irvine, CA 92618
20	
21	Trustee R. Todd Neilson (TR)
22	BRG, LLP
	2049 Century Park East Suite 2525
23	Los Angeles, CA 90067
24	U.S. Trustee
25	United States Trustee (SA)
26	411 W Fourth St., Suite 9041 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4593
	Counsel for U.S. Trustee
27	Counsel for U.S. Trustee Nancy S Goldenberg
28	411 W Fourth St Ste 9041

Santa Ana, CA 92701-8000

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLI	ATTORNEYS AT LAW	TOS ANCETES CATTEODNIA
PACHULS		

1	I amount D. Nicher and Laborated D. Donder, Laborated and D.
2	Laurence P Nokes on behalf of Interested Party John Frankel Nokes & Quinn
410 Broadway St Ste 200 Laguna Beach, CA 92651	Laguna Beach, CA 92651
4	Accountants for Landlord Brent Murdoch
5	Murdoch & Morris, LLP 114 Pacifica, Ste. 320
6	Irvine, CA 92618
7	Interested Party Frye & Hsieh
8	Douglas J Frye Esquire 24955 Pacific Coast Highway # A201
9	Malibu, CA 90265
10	Counsel for Creditor Levon Gugasian Nanette D. Sanders, Esq.
11	Ringstad & Sanders LLP 2030 Main Street
12	Suite 1600 Irvine, CA 92614
13	II vine, CA 72014
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	