The Honorable Christopher M. Alston Chapter 11 Hearing Location: Seattle, WA Hearing Date: April 7, 2016 Hearing Time: 9:30 am Response Due: At time of hearing

### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

In re

NORTHWEST TERRITORIAL MINT, LLC

Debtor.

No. 16-11767-CMA

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 366

Northwest Territorial Mint, LLC, the debtor-in-possession in this Chapter 11 case ("NWTM and/or Debtor"), by and through Counsel, J. Todd Tracy, Steven J. Reilly, and The Tracy Law Group PLLC ("TTLG"), moves this Court for an order approving its proposed method of furnishing adequate assurance of payment of post-petition utility service pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 366 (the "Motion"). This Motion is based upon the files and records herein and upon the accompanying declaration of Ross B. Hansen.

### I. BACKGROUND

1. Debtor is one of the nation's largest private full service mints, as well as a precious metals dealer and a minted products retailer. NWTM has online sales via its website

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 366 - 1

THE TRACY LAW GROUP, LLO

as well as sales via physical retail stores, and has operations in Washington, Nevada, Texas, Wisconsin, Hawaii, and Virginia.

- 2. The Debtor commenced this case on April 1, 2016 (the "Petition Date"), has retained control over its assets, and continues to operate its business pursuant to §§ 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 3. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in Debtor's Chapter 11 case, and no committees have been appointed or designated.
- 4. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b) (2).
  - 5. The statutory predicate for the relief requested herein is 11 U.S.C. §366.
- 6. Debtor's utility providers provide traditional utility service to the Debtor's various operational and retail facilities, including electricity, water, waste removal, sewer, telephone, and internet services ("Utility Providers"). The termination of any of these services would be extremely detrimental to the Debtor's ability to operate.

#### II. PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE PROVISIONS

- 7. Debtor intends to pay all post-petition obligations owed to the Utility Providers in a timely manner.
- 8. Debtor proposes to provide a deposit equal to two weeks of utility service, calculated as a historical average for all utility vendors (an "Adequate Assurance Deposit"). As a condition of accepting an Adequate Assurance Deposit, the Utility

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 366 - 2

THE TRACY LAW GROUPPLLO

Providers shall be deemed to have stipulated that the Adequate Assurance Deposit constitutes adequate assurance of future payment to such Utility Provider within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. §366 and shall further be deemed to have waived any right to seek additional adequate assurance during the course of this Chapter 11 case.

- 9. Debtor submits that the Adequate Assurance Deposits, together with the payment of post-petition utility services in the ordinary course of business, constitute sufficient adequate assurance to the Utility Providers.
- 10. Debtor seeks entry of an order that prohibits the Utility Providers from discontinuing, altering, or refusing service on account of any unpaid pre-petition charges or additional Adequate Assurance Deposit, other than as set forth in this motion.
- 11. Should a Utility Provider seek additional adequate assurance of payment, the Debtor proposes that the Utility Provider must object to this motion and submit, in writing, the location and description of utility services being provided, a summary of the Debtor's payment history, a statement of existing security deposits, and the basis by which the Utility Provider contends that the Adequate Assurance Deposit is not sufficient adequate assurance of future payment.
- 12. Debtor has made a good faith effort to identify its Utility Providers and calculate the historic average of the utility costs, as set forth in the table below.

  However, it is possible that certain Utility Providers have not yet been identified by the Debtor. To the extent that the Debtor identifies additional Utility Providers, the Debtor will file amendments to the Utility Providers list and shall serve copies of this Motion

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 366 - 3

THE TRACY LAW GROUP.LLC
720 Olive Way, Suite 1000
Seattle, WA 98101
206-624-9894 phone / 206-624-8598 fax
www.thetracylawgroup.com

and the order (when and if entered) on such newly-identified Utility Providers. The Debtor requests that the Order be binding on all Utility Providers, regardless of when such Utility Providers were added to the Utility Provider list.

### Auburn, WA Facility

PROVIDER	AVERAGE MONTHLY COST	PROPOSED DEPOSIT
PSE	1,308.49	654.25
City of Auburn	358.72	129.36
Stanley	156.30	78.15
Convergent		
Security		
TOTAL	1,823.51	911.75

### Federal Way, WA Facility

PROVIDER	AVERAGE MONTHLY COST	PROPOSED DEPOSIT
Century Link	5.95	2.98
Threshold	10,342.00	5,171.00
Communications		
Stanley	97.48	48.74
Convergent		
Security		
TOTAL	10,445.43	5,222.72

//

//

//

//

//

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 366 - 4

THE TRACY LAW GROUP, LLO

## **Dayton, NV Facility**

PROVIDER	AVERAGE MONTHLY COST	PROPOSED DEPOSIT
Southwest Gas	282.94	141.47
Corporation		
4407-NV Energy	8,170.41	4,085.21
Electric		
7734-NV Energy	5,505.70	2,752.85
Electric		
Lyon County	230.00	115.00
Utilities		
Lyon County	520.34	260.17
Utilities		
Green House Data	5,665.26	2,832.63
AT&T Mobility	2,872.48	1,436.24
Century Link	174.51	77.26
Century Link	3,046.47	1,523.24
Century Link	52.48	26.24
Century Link	33.29	16.65
Telepacific	2,053.55	1,026.78
Communications		
Frontier	6.67	3.34
Communications		
Skyfiber Internet	59.50	29.75
TOTAL	28,673.60	14,336.80

# Honolulu, HI Facility

PROVIDER	AVERAGE MONTHLY COST	PROPOSED DEPOSIT
Hawaiian Electric	226.49	113.25
Company		
Oceanic Time	103.51	51.76
Warner Cable		
Hawaiian Telcom	160.00	80.00
TOTAL	490.00	245.01

//

//

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 366 - 5

# THE TRACY LAW GROUP, LLC

## **Tomball, TX Facility**

PROVIDER	AVERAGE MONTHLY COST	PROPOSED DEPOSIT
City of Tomball	1,575.70	787.85
Hudson Energy	3,511.27	1,755.64
Services, LLC		
AT&T	698.38	349.19
Protection One	202.87	101.44
TOTAL	5,988.22	2,994.12

## Alexandria, VA Facility

PROVIDER	AVERAGE MONTHLY COST	PROPOSED DEPOSIT
Dominion Virginia	889.36	444.68
Power		
Cox	540.00	270.00
Communications		
Sprint	65.38	32.69
Verizon	236.94	118.47
TOTAL	1,731.68	865.84

# Green Bay, WI Facility

PROVIDER	AVERAGE MONTHLY COST	PROPOSED DEPOSIT
Wisconsin Public	635.93	317.97
Service		
Wisconsin Public	217.88	108.94
Service		
AT&T	299.59	149.80
Lemens	19.50	9.75
Watercare, Inc.		
TOTAL	1,172.90	586.46

//

//

//

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 366 - 6

THE TRACY LAW GROUPPLLO

#### III. ARGUMENT

Section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code pertains to the rights and obligations of debtors seeking to retain utility service after the filing of a bankruptcy petition and provides that:

(a) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, a utility may not alter, refuse, or discontinue service to, or discriminate against, the trustee or the debtor solely on the basis of the commencement of a case under this title or that a debt owed by the debtor to such utility for service rendered before the order for relief was not paid when due.

. . .

(c)

(2) Subject to paragraphs (3) and (4), with respect to a case filed under chapter 11, a utility referred to in subsection (a) may alter, refuse, or discontinue utility service, if during the 30-day period beginning on the date of the filing of the petition, the utility does not receive from the debtor or the trustee adequate assurance of payment for utility service that is satisfactory to the utility.

#### 11 U.S.C. § 366.

The purpose of § 366 is "to prevent the threat of termination from being used to collect pre-petition debts while not forcing the utility to provide services for which it may never be paid." Begley v. Philadelphia Elec. Co. (In re Begley), 760 F.2d 46, 49 (3d Cir. 1985). Congress sought to strike a balance, in enacting § 366, between the general right of a creditor to refuse to do business with a debtor post-petition, and the debtor's need for utility service. In re Best Prods. Co., 203 B.R. 51, 53 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 1996). The section in essence recognizes the monopoly powers of most utilities and requires that they provide initial service to a debtor after a bankruptcy case has been commenced.

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 366 - 7

THE TRACY LAW GROUP, 1000
720 Olive Way, Suite 1000
Seattle, WA 98101

Seattle, WA 98101 206-624-9894 phone / 206-624-8598 fax www.thetracylawgroup.com Subsection 366(c) was added as part of BAPCPA, and authorizes the Court to set the amount of the assurance of payment deposit. <u>In re Astle</u>, 338 B.R. 855, 859 (Bankr. D. Idaho 2006). Here, the Debtor will provide a deposit equal to two weeks average payment for each Utility Provider, which is sufficient adequate assurance of payment under the circumstances.

WHEREFORE, Debtor respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order (1) determining that his Utility Providers have been provided with adequate assurance of payment within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. § 366, (2) prohibiting the Utility Providers from altering, refusing, or discontinuing services on account of pre-petition amounts outstanding, and (3) determining that the Debtor is not required to provide any further adequate protection assurances, beyond what is provided by this Motion.

DATED this 5<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2016.

Respectfully submitted:

THE TRACY LAW GROUP PLLC

By /s/ Steven J. Reilly
J. Todd Tracy, WSBA #17342
Steven J. Reilly, WSBA #44306
Attorneys for Debtor

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 366 - 8

THE TRACY LAW GROUP, LLO