FileD Western District of Washington at Seattle

OCT - 4 2017

MARK L. HATCHER, CLERK OF THE BANKRUPTCY COURT

9/28/2017

- FROM: LUC J.R. MARTINI 1415 293rd Ave. NE Carnation WA 98014 Ph; 425-223-4368
- TO: Honorable Judge Christopher M. Alston US Federal Court House, Crt Rm.: 7206 700 Stewart Str. Seattle WA 98101

Sir:

In re. The North West Territorial Mint bankruptcy casse, Case No. 1611767- CMA, I have the following comments and requests.

Kindly review, comment and publish the "HANSON / PEHL Letter' written by the Court Creditors Committee members by that name; and offer any comment and clarification as indicated in said letter on the record and to we the creditors who have been screwed over by the Court Appointed lawyers (crooks) and the court who have only sought self to enrich themselves at our peril

Furher, I strenuously object to the payment from NW Territorial Mint Assets to the court appointed attorneys and anyone they chose to hire in the Chapter 11 proceedings before any remaining funds are disbursed to persons whom like myself, had moneys held by NW Territorial Mint pending purchase and/or delivery of goods (gold and/or silver), which was never delivered. There was ample gold and silver under the control of the Bankruptcy Trustees for them to make whole all persons like myself who had moneys "on deposit" they failed in their duties to protect our interests. As such, they should forfeit any remaining funds they now ask the Court to award them; and all remaining funds and assets should be expended to make those like myself less damaged. The court appointed attornies in this matter have gamed to court A fraud was perpetrated when Mr Hanson was removed from ooperating the busyiness. If cause existed to remove the (apparent crook) Mr. Hanson, then the court appointed trustees had duty top IMMEDIATELY move the court for a C Chapter 7 process. The Federal Courts have seen fit to take away jurisdiction from State Courts in Bankruptcy proceedings due to claimed disparate process. However the history of Chapter 11 process in the Federal Courts is even more disparate than it was in the State Courts. Lawyers and Judges make piss poor business managers is the real answer and should never be permitted to rape viable businesses and their customers.

In regard to the courts comments on the letter which I sent you earlier, that you have deemed to be from a moron. Take note that I am fluent in 6 foreign languages and conversational in a 7th; hold two degrees in Engineering and served the US Military in Europe and S E Asia during the Nam War with distinction. I will put my credentials and service to the nations against YOURS and the crooks you appointed ANY DAY.

And, once again, be advised, that I pen this with great effort since I have suffered FOUR cerebral strokes and am legally blind and have severe sensory / mobility impairments on my right side limbs. Also be advised that if not for the UINLAWFUL DISCRIMINATORYT CONDUCT OF THE US FEDERAL COURT IN SEATTLE which has in violation of Title 1 of the AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (Note: the US Court house has included Commercial Enterprises on / within the Federal Building itself, namely a (restaurant) which invokes Title 1) PROHIBITED DISABLED PERSONS FROM USING THE ELEVATORS IN THE BUILDING, AND FAILED TO PROVIDE PARKING IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO AN ENTRANCE TO THE FEDERAL BUILDIGN AS MANDATED BY THE ACT.

The DISABILITY ACCESS RAMP adjacent to the Federal Building IS NOT an accommodation that satisfies the intent of the law since it is at such a precipitous slope as to be un - negotiable by a person in a manually powered wheelchair; particularly such persons that have functional limbs on only one side of their body. Nor does it address the ADA's mandate for REAONABLE accommodation that would preclude DISABLED INDIVIDUALS FROM BEING ADVERSELY DISCRIMINTED AGAINST BY THE US FEDERAL COURT IN THE COURSE OF ITS FUNCTION TO ADMINISTER UNBIASED / FAIR / EQUAL STANDING UNDER THE LAW, TO ALL THOSE WHO HAVE CAUSE TO APPEAR IN / AT THE FEDERAL COURT HOUSE.

L. mart-

Luc J. R. Martini

Case 16-11767-CMA Doc 1230 Filed 10/04/17 Ent. 10/04/17 10:11:23 Pg. 3 of 3