

1 Michael J. Gearin, WSBA # 20982
2 David C. Neu, WSBA # 33143
3 Brian T. Peterson, WSBA # 42088
4 K&L GATES LLP
5 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900
6 Seattle, WA 98104-1158
7 (206) 623-7580

Honorable Christopher M. Alston
Chapter 11
Hearing Location: Rm. 7206
Hearing Date: Friday, March 9, 2018
Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.
Response Date: March 2, 2018

8 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT SEATTLE

11 In re:
12 NORTHWEST TERRITORIAL MINT, LLC,
13 Debtor.

Case No. 16-11767-CMA
MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING THE
SALE OF CERTAIN OF THE DEBTOR'S
ASSETS FREE AND CLEAR OF ALL LIENS,
CLAIMS, INTERESTS, AND
ENCUMBRANCES TO MEDALCRAFT
MINT, INC.

16 **I. INTRODUCTION**

17 Mark Calvert (the "Trustee"), Chapter 11 Trustee for Northwest Territorial Mint, LLC
18 ("NWTM" or the "Debtor"), submits this Motion, which requests entry of an order approving the
19 sale of the Medallic tradename, website, customer lists, archives, tools, specific machinery, certain
20 company owned Medallic dies and other property to Medalcraft Mint, Inc. ("Medalcraft") free and
21 clear of all liens, claims, interests, and encumbrances. The Trustee seeks authority to approve the
22 sale to Medalcraft as stalking horse bidder, or to an entity or individual who submits a higher and
23 better offer at auction according to the bid procedures described in the Trustee's separately filed
24 Motion for Order Granting Authority to Incur Credit, Approving Bid Procedures for Sale of Estate
25 Assets, and Approving Break Up Fee (the "Bid Procedures Motion") (Dkt. No. 1432). Approval of
26 the sale to Medalcraft is in the best interests of the estate and should be approved by the Court.

MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING SALE OF CERTAIN
OF THE DEBTOR'S ASSETS FREE AND CLEAR OF ALL
LIENS, CLAIMS, INTERESTS, AND ENCUMBRANCES
TO MEDALCRAFT MINT, INC.- 1

501036731 v5

K&L GATES LLP
925 FOURTH AVENUE
SUITE 2900
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-1158
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-7580
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-7022

1 **II. BACKGROUND**

2 On April 1, 2016, the Debtor commenced this case by filing a voluntary petition under
3 chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. On April 11, 2016, the Court appointed Mark
4 Calvert as chapter 11 Trustee. *See* Dkt. No. 51.

5 The Trustee’s goal in this case has been to maximize the recovery of creditors. Since
6 May 2017, the Trustee has engaged in marketing efforts related to a potential sale of the business.
7 The Trustee entertained expressions of interest from multiple parties including offers to purchase the
8 assets of the estate on a going concern basis and for substantially all of the assets of the estate, but no
9 concrete offer materialized for such a sale on terms which provided any meaningful return to the
10 estate. Because no concrete offer materialized, and because of the inadequate cash resources
11 available to the Trustee, the Trustee closed the custom minting business on December 29, 2017 and
12 prepared to liquidate the assets of the estate via auction.

13 While the Trustee prepared to sell the Debtor’s assets at auction, he engaged in negotiations
14 with multiple buyers for various aspects of the Debtor’s assets. Ultimately, the Trustee separately
15 reached agreements with Industrial Assets Corp. (“Industrial Assets”) and Medalcraft for the
16 purchase and sale of certain assets of the Debtor.¹ The Trustee has determined that the sale of these
17 assets using these purchasers as stalking horse bidders is in the best interests of the estate.

18 The Trustee has entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement dated January 26, 2018 with
19 Medalcraft (the “Medalcraft APA”). The Medalcraft APA was the result of arms-length negotiations
20 between the Trustee and Medalcraft. A copy of the Medalcraft APA is attached as Exhibit A to the
21 Declaration of Mark Calvert filed in support of this Motion. The Medalcraft APA provides that
22 Medalcraft will purchase, among other things, the Medallic Art name and website; marketing
23 materials; Medallic archives; customer list; sales history; vendor list; certain company owned dies
24 associated with any customer for which there has been a sale in the last 20 years; tools; and
25 woodworking equipment (the “Medalcraft Assets”). The Medalcraft APA also provides that

26 ¹ The Trustee is filing a separate motion for approval of the sale to Industrial Assets.

1 Medalcraft will purchase three pieces of specifically identified equipment. The Medalcraft APA
2 provides for a purchase price of \$700,000. Medalcraft has provided a nonrefundable earnest money
3 deposit in the amount of \$100,000 in connection with the sale.

4 The Trustee has separately filed his Bid Procedures Motion, requesting that the Court
5 approve bid procedures to govern the submission of competing offers for the Medalcraft Assets (the
6 “Bid Procedures”). It is possible that one or more interested parties may come forward who wish to
7 make an overbid for the Medalcraft Assets. If one or more qualified competing bids are received in
8 advance of the hearing on this Motion according to the Bid Procedures, the Trustee intends to hold
9 an auction for the Medalcraft Assets on the terms described in the Bid Procedures Motion as
10 approved by the Court.

11 The Trustee believes that the Medalcraft Assets offer is on reasonable terms. If the Trustee
12 does not sell the Medalcraft Assets to Medalcraft, he will be forced to sell such assets at auction.
13 There is risk that a sale at auction generates less in net proceeds for the estate, considering the
14 commission that would be paid to an auctioneer. The proposed sale to Medalcraft guarantees a
15 certain return for the estate, and avoids the cost of an auctioneer. Since the Medalcraft sale will close
16 immediately upon Court approval, the assets will be expeditiously moved from the Dayton facility,
17 which aids the Trustee’s efforts to conclude the use of that facility.

18 The Trustee has communicated with multiple interested purchasers regarding the sale of the
19 assets of the Debtor over the course of more than six months. Based on his experience in marketing
20 the assets which are the subject of the Medalcraft sale, the Trustee believes that the price offered for
21 the assets is reasonable. The Trustee believes that it is in the best interests of the estate to
22 consummate the sale to Medalcraft.

23 The Trustee seeks to sell the Medalcraft Assets free and clear of liens and encumbrances.
24 Two creditors have asserted liens against certain of the assets of the estate, the validity of which
25 liens are disputed by the Trustee. The Court has expressed reservation of any determination as to
26 whether Medallic Art Company LP (“MALP”), a predecessor in interest to Medallic Art Company,

1 LLC (“MACLLC”) may hold an interest in certain of the assets under the Trustee’s control. But
2 MALP, as described in more detail in the Auction Motion, does not hold any interest in the assets
3 held by the Trustee because MALP transferred all of its assets to substantively consolidated debtor
4 Medallic Art Company LLC in late 2009 and thereafter concluded its business affairs. The Trustee
5 has submitted evidence supporting these facts and incorporates by reference the arguments made in
6 the Auction Motion and the testimony in the Declaration of David Neu in Support of the Auction
7 Motion (Dkt. No. 1427). On February 13, 2018, the Court ordered that if Connie Hoff, Robert Hoff,
8 Medallic Art Company, Ltd., Ross Hansen, Medallic Art Limited Partnership, or any of their
9 affiliates (each an “Interested Party”) intend to object to a proposed sale of estate property on the
10 basis that assets sought to be sold by the Trustee are not property of the estate, they must commence
11 an adversary proceeding by filing a verified complaint no later than 5:00 p.m. on February 22, 2018.
12 “Any Interested Party who does not timely commence suit waives any and all rights to assert in this
13 case that the Medallic Assets are not property of the estate.” Dkt. No. 1449. As of the date of this
14 Motion, no such verified complaint has been filed. The Trustee submits that there is no legitimate
15 basis for an assertion that the assets which are the subject of the Medalcraft sale are the property of
16 MALP or are otherwise not property of the bankruptcy estate.

17 The Hoffs allege that they hold a security interest in certain personal property relating to the
18 Dayton Lease. A copy of the Hoff Security Agreement is attached to the Declaration of Connie Hoff
19 at Dkt. No. 1330, Ex. D. The Trustee disputes that the Hoffs hold a perfected security interest in the
20 assets identified in the Security Agreement. MALP executed the Security Agreement on July 10,
21 2009 and it was perfected on the same day. The initial financing statement expired after five years,
22 *i.e.*, July 10, 2014. The Hoffs did not file a continuation statement prior to the expiration of the
23 initial UCC-1, causing their security interest to lapse.

24 Following the filing of this bankruptcy case, the Hoffs realized their security interest had
25 become unperfected and attempted to perfect it with a new financing statement. The Hoffs
26 attempted to file a new UCC-1 financing statement on April 21, 2016. The Hoffs further filed an

MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING SALE OF CERTAIN
OF THE DEBTOR’S ASSETS FREE AND CLEAR OF ALL
LIENS, CLAIMS, INTERESTS, AND ENCUMBRANCES
TO MEDALCRAFT MINT, INC.- 4

501036731 v5

K&L GATES LLP
925 FOURTH AVENUE
SUITE 2900
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-1158
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-7580
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-7022

1 amendment to their April 21, 2016 financing statement on May 17, 2017, which purported to add
2 MACLLC as a debtor. MACLLC is the successor in interest to the defunct MALP, and it was
3 substantively consolidated with the Debtor *nunc pro tunc* as of April 1, 2016. Thus, the Hoff's
4 May 17, 2017 financing statement amendment was filed post-petition as to MACLLC.

5 The Hoff's alleged security interest in the estate's assets is subject to a bona fide dispute.
6 Even assuming that the security interest was valid, its perfection after lapse was barred by the
7 automatic stay rendering the security interest avoidable.

8 Pan American Silver Corporation ("Pan American") has asserted a secured claim against
9 certain property of the Debtor. Pan American delivered silver to the Debtor so that the Debtor could
10 make silver products. On April 4, 2005, Pan American entered into a "Consignment/Security
11 Agreement," a copy of which is attached to Pan American's Proof of Claim No. 2829. The
12 consignment agreement specifically provides that the Debtor (the "Consignee") shall hold "[a]ll
13 right, title, and interest in and to the designs struck on both sides of the Coins, the designs of the
14 Bars (the 'Designs') and all dies and tooling used to make the Coins and Bars, and all copyrights,
15 trademarks, and other intellectual property rights embodied or incorporated in the Designs, in the
16 Products and/or in the dies and tooling used to make the Coins and Bars." The Medalcraft sale does
17 not include any of the dies that Pan American alleges it holds an interest in. The Medalcraft sale
18 includes only Medallic division dies and the Pan American dies are from the NWTM side of the
19 Debtor's business.

20 Pan American asserts that it holds a security interest in one item of equipment that the
21 Trustee intends to sell to Medalcraft.² The Trustee has identified only a few items of equipment at
22 the Dayton Facility that are described in Pan American's proof of claim as being subject to its

23 ² The original Pan American security agreement dated back to April, 4, 2005 and described ten items
24 of equipment. Only seven of those items of equipment remained in the possession of the Debtor at
25 the time the bankruptcy case was filed. Those items are: Columbia coining press 360 ton #6080-
26 242, Clean Aire melt furnace, #M1225T-03, HME-K360 coining press #15413, Augenstein
reduction machine type 505, #5034, Janvier reduction machine #2, Janvier reduction machine #4,
and HME-K600 coining press #16371. Only the HME-K360 coining press #15413 is included in the
assets in the Medalcraft sale.

1 alleged security interest. The Trustee disputes that Pan American holds a valid perfected security
2 interest in the equipment. The Security Agreement contains no collateral description that reasonably
3 identifies what is described. Instead, it states that Pan American's collateral is identified in
4 "Appendix A," which is not attached to the agreement. Moreover, to the extent Pan American's
5 claim is secured, it is not perfected. Pan American's 2005 financing statement has lapsed, and its
6 2015 financing statement fails to sufficiently describe the collateral.

7 The Debtor owns and possesses thousands of dies used by the Debtor to produce custom-
8 made products (the "Coining Dies"). Various customers of the Debtor have objected to the sale of
9 certain of the Debtor's Coining Dies, asserting an ownership interest in the dies. The Medalcraft
10 APA intends to sell Medallic company owned Coining Dies, trim tools, and racks associated with
11 any customer for which there has been a sale within the past twenty years. A limited number of
12 objecting parties assert an interest in the Coining Dies being sold to Medalcraft under the Medalcraft
13 APA. The Trustee has concluded that, with some limited exceptions, it was the Debtor's written
14 policy that it owned the Medallic Coining Dies. While the Debtor charged customers a fee to create
15 the dies, the Debtor retained ownership in the Coining Dies. The Debtor's written policy is
16 maintained on its website, and was communicated in other documents. Employees of the Debtor,
17 including the Debtor's former Director of Sales on the Medallic side, have confirmed this policy.
18 The Debtor stored, preserved, and maintained most of its Coining Dies in its facilities at its own cost,
19 and did not charge customers for die storage and maintenance. Medalcraft has acknowledged that
20 there may be exceptions to the general rule of company ownership of the dies and has agreed to
21 address such issues with individual customers post closing. Medalcraft has also confirmed that it
22 will honor its longstanding policy that the dies which contain customer owned copyright or
23 tradenames will not be used absent express permission from the customer. *See* Declaration of Jerry
24 Moran filed in support of this Motion.

25 All of the Medalcraft Assets that the Trustee intends to sell to Medalcraft are property of the
26 bankruptcy estate. While two parties have asserted lien interests in the property that the Trustee

MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING SALE OF CERTAIN
OF THE DEBTOR'S ASSETS FREE AND CLEAR OF ALL
LIENS, CLAIMS, INTERESTS, AND ENCUMBRANCES
TO MEDALCRAFT MINT, INC.- 6

501036731 v5

K&L GATES LLP
925 FOURTH AVENUE
SUITE 2900
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-1158
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-7580
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-7022

1 proposes to auction, those liens are the subject of bona fide dispute and for the reasons more fully
2 expressed below, do not provide an impediment to the Trustee's ability to conduct the auction and
3 liquidate the assets of the estate.

4 III. EVIDENCE RELIED UPON

5 This Motion relies on the arguments set forth herein; the Declarations of Trustee Mark
6 Calvert filed in support of this Motion, the Bid Procedures Motion (Dkt. No. 1433), and Auction
7 Motion (Dkt. No. 1351); the Declarations of Jerry Moran, Susan Skaggs, and Paul Wagner filed in
8 support of this Motion; the pleadings and records on file in this case; and the arguments of counsel,
9 if any.

10 IV. ARGUMENT

11 The Court should approve the Trustee's proposed sale of the Medalcraft Assets, free and
12 clear of liens, claims, interests, and encumbrances, pursuant to the terms of the Medalcraft APA.
13 Section 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that the Trustee is authorized to sell assets outside
14 the ordinary course of its business. While the text of the Bankruptcy Code does not provide the
15 standard for determining when it is appropriate for a court to authorize the sale of property of the
16 estate, courts often approve a proposed sale if such sale is based upon the sound business judgment
17 of the debtor. *See, e.g., Meyers v. Martin (In re Martin)*, 9 F.3d 389, 395 (3d Cir. 1996); *In re Lionel*
18 *Corp.*, 722 F.2d 1063 (2d Cir. 1983).

19 A. The Proposed Sale Meets the Requirements for a Sale Under 363(b).

20 Here, a sound business reason exists for the proposed sale to Medalcraft. Namely, the
21 objective of the Trustee's proposed sale is to maximize the proceeds of such sale for the benefit of
22 the bankruptcy estate. *See, e.g., Four B. Corp. v. Food Barn Stores, Inc. (In re Food Barn Stores,*
23 *Inc.)*, 107 F.3d 558, 564-65 (8th Cir. 1997) (stating that in bankruptcy sales, "a primary objective of
24 the Code [is] to enhance the value of the estate at hand"); *In re Integrated Res, Inc.*, 147 B.R. 650,
25 659 (S.D.N.Y. 1992) ("It is a well-established principle of bankruptcy law that the objective of
26 bankruptcy rules and the [Debtor's] duty with respect to such sales is to obtain the highest price or

MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING SALE OF CERTAIN
OF THE DEBTOR'S ASSETS FREE AND CLEAR OF ALL
LIENS, CLAIMS, INTERESTS, AND ENCUMBRANCES
TO MEDALCRAFT MINT, INC.- 7

501036731 v5

K&L GATES LLP
925 FOURTH AVENUE
SUITE 2900
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-1158
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-7580
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-7022

1 greatest overall benefit possible for the estate.’’) (alteration in original) (quoting *In re Atlanta*
2 *Packaging Products, Inc.*, 99 B.R. 124, 130 (Bankr. N.D. Ga. 1988)). The Trustee has closed the
3 Debtor’s operations and proposes the sale to Medalcraft with an aim to maximize the recovery for
4 the benefit of the estate.

5 The sale of assets outside of the ordinary course of business may be conducted by a public
6 sale, or a private sale under appropriate circumstances. *See In re Bakalis*, 220 B.R. 525, 531 (Bankr.
7 E.D.N.Y. 1998) (“Unlike judicial sales under the former Bankruptcy Act, the sale of estate property
8 under the Bankruptcy Code is conducted by a trustee, who has ample discretion . . . to conduct
9 public or private sales of estate property.’’) (internal quotations and citation omitted). Here, the
10 Trustee proposes to sell the assets to Medalcraft as a stalking-horse bidder, or a bidder asserting a
11 qualifying higher and better offer for the Medalcraft Assets in the event that the Trustee holds an
12 auction. This will ensure that the Trustee will maximize the return for the assets.

13 The proposed sale to Medalcraft is the highest and best concrete offer that the Trustee has
14 received to date and the Trustee does not believe he could obtain a higher and better offer within a
15 reasonable period of time.

16 For purposes of 11 U.S.C. § 363(m), a “good faith purchaser” is one who buys “in good
17 faith” and “for value.” *Ewell v. Diebert (In re Ewell)*, 958 F.2d 276, 281 (9th Cir. 1992). “The
18 requirement that a purchaser act in good faith . . . speaks to the integrity of his conduct in the course
19 of the sale proceedings.” *In re Abbotts Dairies of Pennsylvania, Inc.*, 788 F.2d 143, 147 (3d Cir.
20 1992) (quotations omitted). The “lack of good faith is shown by ‘fraud, collusion between the
21 purchaser and other bidders or the trustee, or an attempt to take grossly unfair advantage of other
22 bidders.’” *In re Ewell*, 958 F.2d at 281 (quoting *Community Thrift & Loan v. Suchy (In re Suchy)*,
23 786 F.2d 900, 902 (9th Cir. 1985)); *see also In re Abbotts Dairies*, 788 F.2d at 147.

24 Here, the Medalcraft APA is the result of arm’s length negotiations between the Trustee and
25 Medalcraft. It is not the result of any fraud or collusion between the Trustee and Medalcraft or
26

MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING SALE OF CERTAIN
OF THE DEBTOR’S ASSETS FREE AND CLEAR OF ALL
LIENS, CLAIMS, INTERESTS, AND ENCUMBRANCES
TO MEDALCRAFT MINT, INC.- 8

501036731 v5

K&L GATES LLP
925 FOURTH AVENUE
SUITE 2900
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-1158
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-7580
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-7022

1 between the Debtor and Medalcraft. Medalcraft is therefore a good-faith buyer within the meaning
2 of 11 U.S.C. § 363(m).

3 The proposed purchase price of \$700,000, is fair and reasonable, and represents the highest
4 and best concrete offer that the Trustee has received for the Medalcraft Assets.

5 **B. Good Cause Exists to Waive the Stay Under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004(h).**

6 Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004(h), any order authorizing the use, sale, or lease of
7 property other than cash collateral is stayed for 14 days, unless the court orders otherwise. As noted
8 herein, given the liquidity concerns facing the Debtor's estate, and the necessity that this sale close
9 quickly, cause exists to waive the stay and permit the Trustee to quickly consummate the proposed
10 sale to Medalcraft Assets.

11 **C. The Proposed Sale Satisfies the Requirements of 11 U.S.C. § 363(f).**

12 Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 363(f), a Trustee may sell property of the estate under 11 U.S.C. §
13 363(b) free and clear of any interest in such property of any entity other than the estate only if (1)
14 applicable non-bankruptcy law permits sale of such property free and clear of such interest; (2) such
15 entity consents; (3) such interest is a lien and the price at which the property is to be sold is greater
16 than the aggregate value of all liens on such property; (4) such interest is in bona fide dispute; *or* (5)
17 such entity could be compelled, in a legal or equitable proceeding, to accept a money satisfaction of
18 such interest. 11 U.S.C. § 363(f) (emphasis added). Here, there are multiple bases upon which the
19 Court should authorize the Trustee sale of the Medalcraft Assets "free and clear."

20 The Trustee has provided notice of this Motion to the creditors and parties in interest as
21 required by the Court, so that if any entity claims a lien on the assets being sold, they will have an
22 opportunity to object. If no such creditor objects, the sale free and clear will be permitted under
23 11 U.S.C. § 363(f)(2). Moreover, even if alleged secured creditors object to the sale, the sale free
24 and clear is permissible under 11 U.S.C. § 363(f)(5) because there are legal and equitable
25 proceedings in which a lienholder could be compelled to accept a money satisfaction of such lien,
26 including the disposition of collateral under the default remedies provided in Washington's Uniform

MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING SALE OF CERTAIN
OF THE DEBTOR'S ASSETS FREE AND CLEAR OF ALL
LIENS, CLAIMS, INTERESTS, AND ENCUMBRANCES
TO MEDALCRAFT MINT, INC.- 9

501036731 v5

K&L GATES LLP
925 FOURTH AVENUE
SUITE 2900
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-1158
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-7580
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-7022

1 Commercial Code (Chapter 62A.9A RCW) or in a receivership proceeding pursuant to
2 RCW 7.60.260.

3 In addition, the Motion satisfies the requirements of 11 U.S.C. § 363(f) because the alleged
4 lien interests in the property (asserted by the Hoffs and Pan American) are subject to a bona fide
5 dispute by the Trustee. With respect to Pan American, the collateral description contained in its
6 purported security agreement is inadequate and fails to comply with Washington law governing the
7 requirements of security agreements. Pursuant to RCW 62A.9A-203, Pan American's security
8 agreement is only enforceable against the Debtor with respect to the collateral if the Debtor
9 authenticated a security agreement "that provides a description of the collateral." According to
10 RCW 62A.9A-108, a security agreement's description of collateral is sufficient only if "reasonably
11 identifies" the secured party's collateral. The collateral description refers to a nonexistent "Appendix
12 A." This certainly is not one of the examples of a reasonable identification set forth in
13 RCW 62A.9A-108(b). If fact, it does not constitute a description of the collateral at all.

14 Even if the security agreement contained an adequate collateral description (which it does
15 not), Pan American's security agreement is not properly perfected and therefore subject to avoidance
16 by the Trustee as a hypothetical lien creditor pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 544(b). While Pan American
17 filed a UCC-1 financing statement in 2005, it lapsed and is no longer effective upon the expiration of
18 five years. RCW 62A.9A-515(c). Pan American later filed a UCC-1 financing statement in 2015.
19 However, that financing statement contains an inadequate description of the collateral because it
20 neither contains (1) a description of the collateral that satisfies RCW 62A.9A-108, nor (2) "[a]n
21 indication that the financing statement covers all assets or all personal property." RCW 62A.9A-504.
22 Again, the 2015 financing statement referenced the same nonexistent Appendix A referenced in the
23 security agreement. Thus, Pan American's asserted lien, even if valid, was not properly perfected
24 and therefore is voidable.

25 The other alleged secured creditor is the Hoffs. Like the alleged security interest of Pan
26 American, the Hoffs' alleged security interest is subject to a bona fide dispute because it is subject to

MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING SALE OF CERTAIN
OF THE DEBTOR'S ASSETS FREE AND CLEAR OF ALL
LIENS, CLAIMS, INTERESTS, AND ENCUMBRANCES
TO MEDALCRAFT MINT, INC.- 10

501036731 v5

K&L GATES LLP
925 FOURTH AVENUE
SUITE 2900
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-1158
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-7580
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-7022

1 avoidance. The Trustee disputes that the Hoffs hold a perfected security interest in the assets listed
2 on Exhibit "B" to the Security Agreement. MALP executed the Security Agreement on July 10,
3 2009. On July 10, 2009, the Hoffs' attorney filed a UCC-1 financing statement with the State of
4 Nevada. The initial financing statement expired after five years, *i.e.*, July 10, 2014. The Hoffs did
5 not file a continuation statement prior to the expiration of the initial UCC-1, causing their security
6 interest to lapse and become unperfected.

7 Following the filing of this bankruptcy case, the Hoffs realized their security interest had
8 become unperfected and attempted to perfect it with a new financing statement. The Hoffs
9 attempted to file a new UCC-1 financing statement on April 21, 2016. The Hoffs further filed an
10 amendment to their April 21, 2016 financing statement on May 17, 2017, which purported to add
11 MACLLC as a debtor. MACLLC is the successor in interest to the defunct MALP, and it was
12 substantively consolidated with the Debtor *nunc pro tunc* as of April 1, 2016. Thus, the Hoffs' May
13 17, 2017 financing statement amendment was filed post-petition as to MACLLC. The hypothetical
14 lien creditor referenced in section 544 of the Bankruptcy Code is one holding a lien as of the date of
15 the commencement of the case. Thus, any lien of the Hoffs is subject to avoidance under 11 U.S.C. §
16 544, and therefore is subject to a bona fide dispute.

17 Various customers of the Debtor have objected to the sale of certain of the Debtor's Coining
18 Dies, asserting an ownership interest in the dies. A limited number of objecting parties assert an
19 interest in the Coining Dies being sold to Medalcraft under the Medalcraft APA. The Trustee has
20 concluded that, with some possible limited exceptions, it was the Debtor's written policy that it
21 owned the Medallic Coining Dies. While the Debtor charged customers a fee to create the dies, the
22 Debtor retained ownership in the Coining Dies. The Debtor's written policy is maintained on its
23 website, and was communicated in other documents. It also appeared in price quotes and other
24 documentation submitted to customers. The employees of the Debtor, including the Debtor's former
25 Director of Sales, Susan Skaggs, have confirmed this policy. The Debtor stored, preserved, and
26

MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING SALE OF CERTAIN
OF THE DEBTOR'S ASSETS FREE AND CLEAR OF ALL
LIENS, CLAIMS, INTERESTS, AND ENCUMBRANCES
TO MEDALCRAFT MINT, INC.- 11

501036731 v5

K&L GATES LLP
925 FOURTH AVENUE
SUITE 2900
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-1158
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-7580
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-7022

1 maintained most of its Coining Dies in its facilities at its own cost, and did not charge customers for
2 die storage and maintenance.

3 In some instances, the dies manufactured by the Debtor contained artwork and designs
4 prepared by the Debtor's artisans. In other instances, the customers provided artwork of their own
5 for the Debtor to use to craft a particular Coining Die. At least one party has argued that to the extent
6 that a Coining Die contains copyrighted information, it cannot be sold by the Trustee. That position
7 is erroneous. The fact that a particular Coining Die contains copyrighted or trademark material of a
8 particular customer does not mean that the Trustee cannot sell the Coining Die itself. 17 U.S.C.
9 § 202 (“[o]wnership of a copyright, or of any of the exclusive rights under a copyright, is distinct
10 from ownership of any material object in which the work is embodied.”); *In re Clark Entertainment*
11 *Group, Inc.*, 183 B.R. 73, 79 (Bankr. D.N.J. 1995) (“it is well settled that intellectual property rights
12 are separate and distinct from the material objects in which the work is embodied, so that an author
13 has the power to convey ownership in the material object (e.g. a book) while reserving the common
14 law copyright”). Numerous assets containing intellectual property, e.g., computers, books, artwork,
15 are routinely sold by bankruptcy trustees. If the customer's argument were accepted by this Court, it
16 would be difficult to imagine what types of property a trustee in bankruptcy *could* sell. The Trustee
17 can sell Coining Dies to Medalcraft, with Medalcraft taking ownership subject to the copyright or
18 trademark rights of customers of the Debtor. Medalcraft has acknowledged this fact.

19 Furthermore, Section 363(f) of the Bankruptcy Code is satisfied because any lienholder also
20 will be adequately protected by having its liens, if any, attach to the proceeds of the sale in the same
21 order of priority, with the same validity, force, and effect that such creditor had prior to such sale,
22 subject to any claims and defenses that the Trustee and the Debtor's bankruptcy estate may possess
23 with respect thereto. For all of these reasons, the proposed sale free and clear of liens should be
24 approved.

25
26
MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING SALE OF CERTAIN
OF THE DEBTOR'S ASSETS FREE AND CLEAR OF ALL
LIENS, CLAIMS, INTERESTS, AND ENCUMBRANCES
TO MEDALCRAFT MINT, INC.- 12

501036731 v5

K&L GATES LLP
925 FOURTH AVENUE
SUITE 2900
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-1158
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-7580
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-7022

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter an order in the form proposed by the Trustee, granting the Motion, authorizing his proposed sale to Medalcraft or a qualified bidder who submits a higher and better offer, and for such other relief as the Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

DATED this 16th day of February, 2018.

K&L GATES LLP

By /s/ Michael J. Gearin
Michael J. Gearin, WSBA #20982
David C. Neu, WSBA #33143
Brian T. Peterson, WSBA #42088
Attorneys for Mark Calvert, Chapter 11 Trustee

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

The undersigned declares as follows:

That she is a Paralegal in the law firm of K&L Gates LLP, and on February 16, 2018, she caused the foregoing document to be filed electronically through the CM/ECF system which caused Registered Participants to be served by electronic means, as fully reflected on the Notice of Electronic Filing.

Also on February 16, 2018, she caused the foregoing document to be placed in the mail to the Parties at the addresses listed below:

Northwest Territorial Mint LLC
c/o Ross Hansen, Member
P.O. Box 2148
Auburn, WA 98071-2148

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington and the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on the 16th day of February, 2018 at Seattle, Washington.

/s/ Denise A. Lentz
Denise A. Lentz