The Tulving Company Inc. - Trustee Report #6 July 1, 2015

R. Todd Neilson, the duly appointed Chapter 7 trustee ("Trustee") in the Tulving Company Inc. ("Tulving") bankruptcy, provides this Sixth Trustee Report (Trustee Report #6).

I have not communicated with you for the past few months as there has been very little to report. In addition, from the inception of the bankruptcy I have been involved in delicate and protracted negotiations with the Department of Justice ("DOJ") concerning the ultimate liquidation and disposition of the coins which they seized in the raid of the Tulving offices on March 3, 2014. At the request of the DOJ, these negotiations were conducted in confidence and I have therefore not divulged the nature or content of these discussions prior to this time.

As we all know, dealing with the government can be a wearying process even with the well intentioned efforts of all participants. This naturally deliberate process has been further complicated by the inherent secrecy underlying the grand jury process and the folding together of Title 18 of the criminal code with the complexities of the Bankruptcy code. I am happy to say that due to the patience and hard work of all parties, we have finally resolved those negotiations and on June 18, 2015, we filed a Motion requesting Bankruptcy Court approval of the agreement that we reached with the government. I am attaching herewith a copy of that Motion as well as the attached Exhibits which you can read in their entirety if you wish. While the agreement may seem complicated, in its simplest terms, it outlines the procedure whereby the valuable coins which were seized by the government can be liquidated for the benefit of the victims in this bankruptcy case.

The Motion incorporates both a Plea Agreement as well as a Coordination Agreement with the DOJ. The Plea Agreement requires Hannes Tulving to sign a personal Plea Agreement pleading to one count of wire fraud, after which he will be sentenced in accordance with guidelines as imposed by the District Court. In addition, the Motion requests that the Bankruptcy Court provide the relief to allow Hannes Tulving to sign a Plea Agreement, on behalf of the Debtor, to admit guilt to one count of wire fraud, agree to the Government recommendations for sanctions, penalties and sentencing and cooperate with both the DOJ and the Trustee. As a result of these agreements, the Government will obtain a claim in the

bankruptcy case which will be subordinated to the claims of the victims and other general unsecured creditors. In other words, all victims/claimants must be paid prior to the Government sharing in the proceeds of the sale.

Once the Coordination Agreement is approved by the Bankruptcy Court, I will propose a procedure for the orderly liquidation of the seized items and the distribution of sale proceeds to the Creditors/Victims. That proposal will then be presented to the government and the Bankruptcy Court for their approval. At such time as final approval is obtained, I will be authorized to receive the items which were seized from Tulving and, under the supervision of the DOJ and the Bankruptcy Court, conduct an orderly liquidation of those items for the benefit of the Creditor/Victims.

Assets of the Estate

Many of the assets of the estate have changed substantially since my report last month.

- As outlined in the Coordination Agreement referenced above, we have resolved the return of the most valuable coins to the estate. However, I want to exercise a note of caution that you should not assume that funds from the sale of the coins will quickly flow back to the victims. As you may recall, the estimate as to the value of the coins range from worthless to over \$20 million. Accordingly, it may take a considerable period of time before we can achieve a meaningful distribution to creditors.
 - As noted above, we must first provide a written procedure to both the DOJ and the Bankruptcy Court for their approval prior to commencing the process of liquidation. It will be my intention to seek out the most qualified parties who can sell the coins in a deliberate fashion intended to maximize their value as opposed to a quickly prepared bulk sale.
- As I covered in my previous report, Mr. Tulving asserted that there were a number of additional coins which were not seized by the government and may ultimately belong to this estate. We are in the process of investigating the underlying evidence for such a possibility and have not concluded our analysis. Our investigation is ongoing.
- Accounts Receivables We have previously discussed the existence of a \$600,000 receivable from a trade creditor. At a minimal cost, we were able to obtain a signed

agreement recognizing the validity of such a large receivable and we are taking steps to collect on the receivable.

- Customer Lists, URL Websites and Other Intellectual Property ("IP Property")
 - As previously reported, we have finalized the sale of the IP Property on January 12, 2015, in a rather lengthy process.
- Other actions to Recover Assets for the Benefit of Creditors.
 - We are still trying to analyze in a cost efficient manner the \$1.2 billion which passed in and out of Tulving over the three years prior to bankruptcy. The nature of those transactions was often very convoluted and represented complex relationships with significant parties over an extended period of time. We have obtained supplemental accounting records from some of the third parties who were involved in these transactions and, under appropriate budgetary constraints, will continue to do so in the future. We will report on our findings as we continue the process.

Claims in the Estate

The claims in the estate have not substantially changed since my last report. As I stated in Report #5, I believe we have captured the bulk of the claims in the bankruptcy. We would again invite you to review the The Tulving Company Official Bankruptcy website (www.tulvingbankruptcy.com) to make certain your claim is included.

Thank you.

R. Todd Neilson

Chapter 7 Trustee

	Cí	ase 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 259 Fil Main Docur	Filed 06/18/15 Entered 06/18/15 16:55:51 Descurrent Page 1 of 32					
	1 2 3 4 5 6	Linda F. Cantor (CA Bar No. 153762) PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-4100 Telephone: 310-277-6910 Facsimile: 310-201-0760 Email: lcantor@pszjw.com Counsel for R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 7 Trustee for The Tulving Company, Inc.						
	7 8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT						
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Attorreys at Law Los Angeles, California	9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
	10	SANTA ANA DIVISION						
	11	In re:	Case No.: 8:14-bk-11492-ES					
	12	THE TULVING COMPANY, INC., a	Chapter 7					
	13	California corporation,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION					
KI STANG ZIEHL & JO ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	14	Debtor.	FOR ORDER (I) APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE TRUSTEE AND					
KI STAP ATTOI LOS ANGI	15		DEBTOR TO ENTER INTO THE CONSENT MOTION AND PROPOSED					
ACHULS	16		ORDER FOR COORDINATION AGREEMENT FOR DISTRIBUTION OF					
<u> </u>	17		SEIZED ITEMS FROM THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE					
	18		TRUSTEE AND FROM THE TRUSTEE TO VICTIMS, (B) AUTHORIZING HANNES THE VINC. ID. TO EXECUTE A					
	19		HANNES TULVING, JR. TO EXECUTE A PLEA AGREEMENT WITH THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ON					
	20		BEHALF OF THE DEBTOR, AND (C) FOR RELATED RELIEF PURSUANT					
	21		TO SECTIONS 105 AND 362 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND					
	22		BANKRUPTCY RULE 9019; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND					
	23		AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF; DECLARATION OF R. TODD NEILSON					
	24		[NO HEARING REQUIRED PURSUANT					
	25		TO L.B.R. 9013-1]					
	26							
	27							
	28							

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that R. Todd Neilson, the duly appointed chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") for The Tulving Company, Inc. (the "Debtor"), in the above-entitled chapter 7 case, hereby files this motion (the "Motion") for the entry of an order or orders, pursuant to Sections 105 and 362 of Title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. § 101 et seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a) ("Rule 9019"), (a) approving and authorizing the Trustee and the Debtor to enter into the Consent Motion and Proposed Order for Coordination Agreement for Distribution of Seized Items From the United States of America to the Trustee and from the Trustee to Victims (the "Coordination Agreement") by and among the Trustee, the United States of America, through the Acting United States Attorney for the Western District of North Carolina (the "Government"), the Debtor and Hannes Tulving, Jr. ("Hannes Tulving"), the Debtor's sole shareholder (collectively, the "Parties"); (b) authorizing Hannes Tulving, on behalf of the Debtor, to sign a Plea Agreement with the Government in the criminal case pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving, Case Docket No. 3:15CR115 (the "Criminal Case"), and (c) for related relief. A copy of the Coordination Agreement is appended hereto as Exhibit A.

The Coordination Agreement provides a procedure for the liquidation by the Trustee of certain property of the Debtor's estate (the "Estate") seized by the Government in March 2014 (the "Seized Items"), and the distribution of sale proceeds by the Trustee to creditors who are victims of the Debtor's fraud pursuant to a sale and distribution proposal that will be made by the Trustee and submitted to the Government and to the Court for approval, as described more fully in the Memorandum of Points and Authorities. If the proposal is approved by the Government and the Court, the Government will turn over the Seized Items to the Trustee in an amount sufficient to satisfy the proposed payouts to Claimants. The Coordination Agreement also provides for the waiver of certain claims by the Estate relating to the Government's seizure of the Seized Items. The Coordination Agreement has been approved by the United States District Court for the

Western District of North Carolina, Charlotte Division (the "<u>District Court</u>") in the Criminal Case, but it is not effective unless and until approved by this Court.

Hannes Tulving has entered into a plea agreement with the Government in the Criminal Case. In connection with the Coordination Agreement, the Government requires that The Tulving Company, Inc. enter into a separate plea agreement in the Criminal Case (the "Plea Agreement"). Under the Plea Agreement, the Debtor admits to guilt for a wire fraud charge and agrees to (i) Government recommendations for sanctions, penalties and sentencing, (ii) further cooperation with the Government and the Trustee, and (iii) prohibitions against the disposition of specified assets, other than as provided in the Coordination Agreement. The Trustee has agreed to seek this Court's authorization for Hannes Tulving to execute the Plea Agreement and the Coordination Agreement on behalf of the Debtor. The Trustee has also agreed to seek allowance against the Estate on a subordinated basis of the Government's monetary judgment against the Debtor after sentencing in the Criminal Case pursuant to the Plea Agreement.

The Trustee, in the exercise of his business judgment, requests approval of the Coordination Agreement and the Plea Agreement, and Hannes Tulving's execution of same on behalf of the Debtor, as fair and reasonable and in the best interests of the Estate. It avoids potentially unnecessary, time consuming and costly multi-district disputes among the Trustee, Hannes Tulving and the Government over the procedure for liquidating the Seized Items and the appropriate forum for administering the distribution of proceeds to victims. The claims against the Estate that result from the Plea Agreement will be subordinated to claims of victims and other general unsecured creditors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Motion is based on this Notice and Motion, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of R. Todd Neilson that is attached to the Motion, and any other admissible evidence properly brought before the Court.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(o)(1) requires that any response to the Motion and a request for a hearing thereon shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court and served upon Trustee's counsel at the address appearing on the upper-left

hand corner of the caption page to this Motion and the Office of the U.S. Trustee within fourteen
(14) days of the date of service of the Motion. Pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(h), the
failure to timely file and serve written opposition may be deemed by the Court to be consent to the
granting of the relief requested in the Motion.
WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court (a) approve and authorize

the Trustee and Debtor to enter into the Coordination Agreement, including taking all actions necessary to implement same, (b) approve the execution of the Plea Agreement by Hannes Tulving, on behalf of the Debtor, and (c) grant such other and further relief as is just and proper under the circumstances.

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Dated: June 18, 2015

> By /s/ Linda F. Cantor Linda F. Cantor

> > Counsel for R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 7 Trustee

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. The Background of the Debtor's Business

The Debtor is a California corporation. The Debtor was in the business of selling and purchasing gold, silver, coins, bullion, and other precious metals through its internet website or by phone. Prior to the filing of the bankruptcy, customer complaints concerning delayed or undelivered orders were increasingly made to the Better Business Bureau against the Debtor and in early March, 2014, a class-action lawsuit was filed against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving in the United States District Court, Northern District of California. A criminal investigation of the Debtor and Tulving by the Government was also being pursued, as described below. The Debtor ceased operations on or about March 3, 2014.

В. **Procedural Background of the Bankruptcy Case**

The Debtor commenced this case by the filing of a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on March 10, 2014. In light of the pending criminal investigation and other ongoing litigation against the Debtor, on March 18, 2014, the United States Trustee filed a Stipulation Appointing Chapter 11 Trustee [Docket No. 15] (the "Stipulation"), which was signed by both the Debtor and its attorney. The Stipulation was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on March 18, 2014 [Docket No. 16] and an Order was entered by the Court on March 21, 2014 approving the U.S. Trustee's Application for the Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee, appointing R. Todd Neilson as Trustee of the Debtor's estate [Docket No. 22]. Thereafter upon notice and hearing, the case was converted to a chapter 7 and R. Todd Neilson was appointed and continues to serve as the chapter 7 Trustee [Docket 108].

C. **The Criminal Case**

On March 8, 2014, Special Agents of the United States Secret Service executed a Search Warrant on the Debtor's offices on probable cause that the Debtor and Hannes Tulving were engaged in fraud. The Search Warrant resulted in the seizure of the Debtor's property including rare coins and other valuable items, which are described as line items seven through thirty-four and

thirty-six through fifty-two of the Search Warrant Return, a copy of which is appended to the Coordination Agreement attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. Criminal proceedings against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving ensued before the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina (the "Criminal Case").

Hannes Tulving has now signed a plea agreement with the Government whereby he has agreed to plead guilty to 18 U.S.C. § 1343 wire fraud charge as set forth in Count One of a Bill of Information in the Criminal Case. As the Debtor is Mr. Tulving's co-defendant in the Criminal Case, the Government requires that the Debtor also sign a Plea Agreement, in which it agrees to plead guilty to the same charge set forth in the individual Tulving plea agreement and agrees to (i) Government recommendations for sanctions, penalties and sentencing, (ii) further cooperation with the Government and the Trustee, and (iii) prohibitions against the disposition of specified assets, other than as provided in the Coordination Agreement.

D. The Coordination Agreement

The Trustee has also reached an agreement with the Government on a procedure for the liquidation of the Seized Assets and the distribution of proceeds of sale to the victims of the Debtor's and Hannes Tulving's criminal actions. The claimants in this Bankruptcy Case are primarily the same customers who are victims in the Criminal Case. Specifically, the Trustee received approximately 381 claims filed by claimants who, based on review by the Government, are substantially or wholly identical to the Victims identified for purposes of restitution in the Criminal Case. Given the overlap of Victims and the pendency of the bankruptcy case, the Trustee has asserted that the Seized Assets can be administered more effectively in the bankruptcy proceeding than in the Criminal Case, and also contends that the Estate has a right to recover the Seized Items for that purpose. The Coordination Agreement resolves these issues by providing for, among other things¹:

(i) The Trustee shall propose, on or before sixty days after the Coordination Agreement is approved by both the Bankruptcy Court and the District Court, in the form of an

¹ The summary contained herein is a summary only, and if and to the extent there is any inconsistency between the summary and the Coordination Agreement, the terms of the Coordination Agreement govern. Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Coordination Agreement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

omnibus motion or other filed pleadings sufficient for filing in the Bankruptcy Court and the District Court a final list of Victims and losses and a proposal for liquidating the Seized Items, including a plan for all disbursement of costs and expenses and all disbursements to Victims. If the Government accepts the Victim list and liquidation proposal, and if approved by the Bankruptcy Court, the Government will turn over Seized Items to the Trustee in an amount sufficient, based on best estimates of appraised value of the Seized Items, to satisfy the payouts proposed by the Trustee therein. The Trustee agrees to seek to prioritize payments to Victims over all other payments, except for reasonable costs and expenses of liquidation approved by the Bankruptcy Court to which the Parties do not object in writing. The Government reserves the right to decline to turn over Seized Items to the Trustee in the event that, in the Government's sole discretion, any part of the plan set forth by the Trustee or any pending or anticipated litigation in the Bankruptcy Case is not, in light of all of the circumstances of the Bankruptcy Case and the Criminal Case, beneficial to a prompt recovery for Victims.

- (ii) The Parties agree that any Seized Items not otherwise turned over to the Trustee to pay Victims may be, subject to further administrative proceedings and/or further order/s of the District Court, forfeited and/or subject to garnishment, as more fully specified in the Plea Agreement signed by Tulving on behalf of itself and The Tulving Company. The Parties agree that, once all Victims have been paid as proposed by the Trustee and approved by the Bankruptcy Court, the Trustee waives any right to claim any of the Seized Items.
- (iii) The Coordination Agreement shall not constitute a waiver of the Department of Justice's or United States Secret Service's rights to bring criminal, civil, and/or administrative forfeiture proceedings, the Government's right to bring garnishment proceedings or other proceedings under the restitution and debt collection laws, or the Government's right to file claims to assets other than the Seized Items in the Bankruptcy Case, nor shall it constitute a waiver by the Trustee of any rights that the Trustee may have to pursue assets, other than the Seized Items, in the Bankruptcy Case.
- (iv) The Parties are barred from asserting, or assisting others in asserting, any claim against the United States and any and all agents and employees of the United States

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(including but not limited to the Department of Justice ("DOJ"), the United States Attorney's Office, Western District of North Carolina ("<u>USAO-WDNC</u>"), the United States Secret Service ("USSS"), the United States Department of Treasury, Treasury Executive Office for Asset Forfeiture ("TEOAF"), and any agents and employees of the United States, DOJ, USAO-WDNC, USSS, and TEOAF), in connection with or arising out of the seizure, restraint, and/or constructive possession of the Seized Items, including, but not limited to, any claim that there was no probable cause to seize the Seized Items, that the Trustee is a prevailing party, or that the Trustee is entitled to attorney's fees or any award of interest.

- (v) The Parties shall bear their own costs and attorney's fees, except as otherwise provided in the Coordination Agreement on costs and expenses approved by the Bankruptcy Court.
- The Bankruptcy Court and District Court shall have exclusive jurisdiction (vi) over the interpretation and enforcement of the Coordination Agreement under the applicable law in each of their respective cases.
- (vii) The Parties waive all rights to appeal or to otherwise challenge or contest the validity of the Coordination Agreement.
- (viii) Notwithstanding any applicable Bankruptcy Rule to the contrary and subject to the provisions of the Coordination Agreement, the terms and conditions of the Order on the Coordination Agreement shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

As discussed herein, the Trustee believes that the compromise reached in the Coordination Agreement is fair, reasonable and in the best interest of the Estate.

Ε. The Plea Agreement

The Government requires that the Debtor sign the Plea Agreement on substantially the same terms as the plea agreement signed by Hannes Tulving. After arms-length negotiations, the Trustee and the Government have reached agreement concerning the Plea Agreement. The Trustee has agreed that Hannes Tulving may sign the Plea Agreement on behalf of the Debtor, subject to an order of the Bankruptcy Court authorizing him to do so and, to the extent necessary, granting relief

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

from the automatic stay with respect to the imposition of fines, sanctions and other monetary awards on a subordinated basis against the Debtor as set forth in the Plea Agreement.²

Pursuant to the Plea Agreement, the Debtor agrees to plead guilty to 18 U.S.C. § 1343 wire fraud charge as set forth in Count One of a Bill of Information in the Criminal Case. Among other things, the Debtor agrees that the parties will jointly recommend certain findings and conclusions to the District Court relevant to the Sentencing Guidelines, including that the advisory fine range is \$16.6 million to \$35.2 million, agrees to a judgment for restitution, agrees that the Coordination Agreement shall control the disposition of the Seized Items in the Bankruptcy Court to pay restitution to Victims, agrees to make full disclosure of current and projected assets, agrees not to alienate assets other than as provided in the Coordination Agreement, consents to forfeiture with respect to all assets in the Bill of Information (subject to the Coordination Agreement), consents to the entry of judgment by the Magistrate Judge, waives objections to forfeiture and withdraws any administrative claim related thereto.

II.

THE COORDINATION AGREEMENT AND PLEA AGREEMENT SHOULD BE APPROVED AS BEING IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE ESTATE

Standard for Approval of Settlements Α.

"The law favors compromise and not litigation for its own sake. . . ." Martin v. Kane (In re A & C Properties), 784 F.2d 1377, 1381 (9th Cir. 1986); see also Marandas v. Bishop (In re Sassalos), 160 B.R. 646, 653 (D. Or. 1993) (noting that compromises are favored in bankruptcy). Rule 9019(a) of the Bankruptcy Rules ("Rule 9019(a)") provides in relevant part that "[o]n motion by the trustee and after notice and hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement." Rule 9019(a) commits the approval or denial of a settlement to the sound discretion of the bankruptcy court. See In re Stein, 236 B.R. 34, 37 (D. Or. 1999). The bankruptcy court, however, should not substitute its own judgment for the judgment of a trustee or a debtor. See In re Carla Leather, Inc., 44 B.R. 457, 465 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1984), aff'd, 50 B.R. 764 (S.D.N.Y. 1985).

² The Trustee has agreed to seek allowance against the Estate, on a subordinated basis, of the Government's monetary judgment after sentencing in the Criminal Case under the Plea Agreement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

When deciding whether to approve a settlement, the bankruptcy court must determine if the settlement is reasonable under the circumstances of the case, fair and equitable, and in the best interest of the estate. See A & C Props., 784 F.2d at 1381. The court is neither required to conduct a mini-trial on the merits of the settlement, Port O'Call Invest. Co. v. Blair (In re Blair), 538 F.2d 849, 851 (9th Cir. 1976), nor to determine that the settlement amount is the amount that would have been paid had the matter been litigated. The court need only "canvass the issues and see whether the settlement 'fall[s] below the lowest point in the range of reasonableness." Cosoff v. Rodman (In re W.T. Grant Co.), 699 F.2d 599, 608 (2d Cir. 1983) (quoting Newman v. Stein, 464 F.2d 689, 693 (2d Cir. 1972)); In re Pacific Gas and Elec. Co., 304 B.R. 395, 417 (Bankr. N. D. Cal. 2004); In re Planned Protective Servs., Inc., 130 B.R. 94, 99 n.7 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 1991).

> The bankruptcy court need not conduct an exhaustive investigation into the validity of the asserted claim. It is sufficient that, after appraising itself of all facts necessary for an intelligent and objective opinion concerning the claim's, validity, the court determines that either (1) the claim has "substantial foundation" and is not "clearly invalid as a matter of law," or (2) the outcome of the claim's litigation is "doubtful."

United States v. Alaska National Bank (In re Walsh Construction, Inc.), 669 F.2d 1325, 1328 (9th Cir. 1982) (citations omitted).

B. The Coordination Agreement and Plea Agreement are in the Best Interests of the **Estate**

The rationale for approval of the Coordination Agreement and the Plea Agreement is straight-forward and compelling. The Trustee asserts that the Seized Items are property of the Estate and that they should be turned over, and that the Bankruptcy Case is in any event the best forum both for liquidating such assets, administering the claims of the Victims and making distributions thereon. The Government, however, already has possession of the Seized Items under its Search Warrant and may assert the right to control the sale of Seized Items and restitution payments to Victims under federal forfeiture statutes. The Coordination Agreement resolves what could be a costly dispute by providing the Trustee with an opportunity to achieve his objective without further dispute by proposing a plan for liquidating Seized Items and making distributions in the Bankruptcy Case, with the Government to turn over sufficient Seized Items for that purpose,

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

subject to the plan's approval by the Government and by the Bankruptcy Court.

The Plea Agreement is a required component of the Coordination Agreement. It contains provisions that the Trustee believes should be unobjectionable to creditors of the Estate, particularly since the Trustee will be seeking allowance of the monetary judgment on a subordinated basis, and thus it will not prejudice general unsecured creditors. A critical additional consideration is that the Plea Agreement does not impose any more obligations on the Estate than it might incur in any event through a judgment after trial, a process that the Trustee believes is unnecessary from the perspective of the Estate and its creditors. Accordingly, the Trustee believes that the Coordination Agreement and Plea Agreement are fair and reasonable and in the best interests of the Estate, and requests that they be approved and that Hannes Tulving, Jr., be authorized to execute them on behalf of the Debtor.

III.

THE COURT SHOULD GRANT RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY TO THE EXTENT NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT THE TERMS OF THE PLEA AGREEMENT AND THE COORDINATION AGREEMENT

Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code provides that a petition "operates as a stay, applicable to all entities, of--

- (1) the commencement or continuation, including the issuance or employment of process, of a judicial, administrative, or other action or proceeding against the debtor that was or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case under this title, or to recover a claim against the debtor that arose before the commencement of the case under this title;
- (3) any act to obtain possession of property of the estate or of property from the estate or to exercise control over property of the estate; [and]
- (6) any act to collect, assess, or recover a claim against the debtor that arose before the commencement of the case under this title....

11 U.S.C. § 362(a)(1), (3) and (6). While section 362(b) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that the stay imposed by subsection (a) does not apply to "the commencement or continuation of a criminal action or proceeding against the debtor," the provisions of the Coordination Agreement and Plea

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Agreement relating to the imposition of a monetary judgment against the Debtor in connection with the Criminal Case may run afoul of section 362(a). Accordingly, the Trustee requests that the stay under Section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code be lifted to the extent needed to permit the imposition of claims under the Plea Agreement.

IV.

THE CONSENT MOTION AND ORDER SHOULD BE EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY

Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3) provides: "An order granting a motion for relief from an automatic stay made in accordance with Rule 4001(a)(1) is stayed until the expiration of 14 days after the entry of the order, unless the court orders otherwise." Paragraph 13 of the Coordination Agreement provides: "Notwithstanding the possible applicability of the Bankruptcy Rules and subject to the provisions above, the terms and conditions of this Order shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry." Given the benefit to the Estate of the Coordination Agreement, and the limited scope and ancillary role of the stay relief requested herein, the Trustee requests that the order approving the motion be deemed effective when entered.

V.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court (a) approve the Coordination Agreement including authorizing the Trustee to take all actions necessary to implement same, (b) authorize Hannes Tulving to execute the Plea Agreement and the Coordination Agreement on behalf of the Debtor, (c) grant relief from the automatic stay to the extent necessary for the imposition of claims under the Plea Agreement, (d) waive the 14-day waiting period under Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3), and (e) grant such other and further relief as is just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: June 18, 2015 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

> By /s/ Linda F. Cantor Linda F. Cantor

> > Counsel for R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 7 Trustee

12

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

DECLARATION OF R. TODD NEILSON

I. R. Todd Neilson, declare as follows:

- I am the duly appointed chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") in the above-captioned 1. bankruptcy case (the "Case"). I make this Declaration on facts within my personal knowledge (albeit my own or that gathered by professionals rendering services to me), or as a result of having reviewed the court file in this Case. If called upon, I can and will competently testify to the facts stated herein
- 2. I make this declaration in support of the *Motion for Order (I) Approving and* Authorizing the Trustee and Debtor to Enter into the Consent Motion and Proposed Order for Coordination Agreement for Distribution of Seized Items From the United States of America to the Trustee and From the Trustee to Victims, (B) Authorizing Hannes Tulving, Jr. to Execute a Plea Agreement on Behalf of the Debtor, and (C) for Related Relief Pursuant to Sections 105 and 362 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 9019 (the "Motion"). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.
- 3. The Debtor is a California corporation. The Debtor was in the business of selling and purchasing gold, silver, coins, bullion, and other precious metals through its internet website or by phone. Prior to the filing of the bankruptcy, customer complaints concerning delayed or undelivered orders were increasingly made to the Better Business Bureau against the Debtor and in early March, 2014, a class-action lawsuit was filed against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving in the United States District Court, Northern District of California. A criminal investigation of the Debtor and Tulving by the Government was also being pursued, as described below. The Debtor ceased operations on or about March 3, 2014.
- 4. The Debtor commenced this case by the filing of a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on March 10, 2014. A Stipulation Appointing Chapter 11 Trustee [Docket No. 15] (the "Stipulation") was signed by the Debtor and its attorney and approved by the Bankruptcy Court on March 18, 2014 [Docket No. 16] and an Order was entered by the Court on March 21, 2014 approving the U.S. Trustee's Application for the Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee, appointing R. Todd Neilson as Trustee of the Debtor's estate [Docket No. 22].

Thereafter upon notice and hearing, the case was converted to a chapter 7 and I was appointed and continue to serve as the chapter 7 Trustee [Docket 108].

- 5. I understand that on March 8, 2014, Special Agents of the United States Secret Service executed a Search Warrant on the Debtor's offices on probable cause that the Debtor and Hannes Tulving were engaged in fraud. The Search Warrant resulted in the seizure of the Debtor's property including rare coins and other valuable items, which are described as line items seven through thirty-four and thirty-six through fifty-two of the Search Warrant Return, a copy of which is appended to the Coordination Agreement attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. Criminal proceedings against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving ensued before the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina (the "Criminal Case").
- 6. I understand that Hannes Tulving has now signed a plea agreement with the Government whereby he has agreed to plead guilty to 18 U.S.C. § 1343 wire fraud charge as set forth in Count One of a Bill of Information in the Criminal Case. As the Debtor is Mr. Tulving's co-defendant in the Criminal Case, the Government requires that the Debtor also sign a Plea Agreement, in which it agrees to plead guilty to the same charge set forth in the individual Tulving plea agreement and agrees to (i) Government recommendations for sanctions, penalties and sentencing, (ii) further cooperation with the Government and the Trustee, and (iii) prohibitions against the disposition of specified assets, other than as provided in the Coordination Agreement.
- 7. I have reached an agreement with the Government on a procedure for the liquidation of the Seized Assets and the distribution of proceeds of sale to the victims of the Debtor's and Hannes Tulving's criminal actions. The claimants in this Bankruptcy Case are primarily the same customers who are victims in the Criminal Case. Specifically, approximately 381 claims have been filed in this Case by claimants who, based on review by the Government, are substantially or wholly identical to the Victims identified for purposes of restitution in the Criminal Case. Given the overlap of Victims and the pendency of the bankruptcy case, I believe and have contended that the Seized Assets can be administered more effectively in the bankruptcy proceeding than in the Criminal Case, and that the Estate has a right to recover the Seized Items for that purpose. The Coordination Agreement resolves these issues by providing for, among other things:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(i) The Trustee shall propose, on or before sixty days after the Coordination Agreement
is approved by both the Bankruptcy Court and the District Court, in the form of an omnibus
motion or other filed pleadings sufficient for filing in the Bankruptcy Court and the District
Court a final list of Victims and losses and a proposal for liquidating the Seized Items,
including a plan for all disbursement of costs and expenses and all disbursements to
Victims. If the Government accepts the Victim list and liquidation proposal, and if
approved by the Bankruptcy Court, the Government will turn over Seized Items to the
Trustee in an amount sufficient, based on best estimates of appraised value of the Seized
Items, to satisfy the payouts proposed by the Trustee therein. The Trustee agrees to seek to
prioritize payments to Victims over all other payments, except for reasonable costs and
expenses of liquidation approved by the Bankruptcy Court to which the Parties do not
object in writing. The Government reserves the right to decline to turn over Seized Items to
the Trustee in the event that, in the Government's sole discretion, any part of the plan set
forth by the Trustee or any pending or anticipated litigation in the Bankruptcy Case is not,
in light of all of the circumstances of the Bankruptcy Case and the Criminal Case, beneficial
to a prompt recovery for Victims.

- (ii) The Parties agree that any Seized Items not otherwise turned over to the Trustee to pay Victims may be, subject to further administrative proceedings and/or further order/s of the District Court, forfeited and/or subject to garnishment, as more fully specified in the Plea Agreement signed by Tulving on behalf of itself and The Tulving Company. The Parties agree that, once all Victims have been paid as proposed by the Trustee and approved by the Bankruptcy Court, the Trustee waives any right to claim any of the Seized Items.
- (iii) The Coordination Agreement shall not constitute a waiver of the Department of Justice's or United States Secret Service's rights to bring criminal, civil, and/or administrative forfeiture proceedings, the Government's right to bring garnishment proceedings or other proceedings under the restitution and debt collection laws, or the Government's right to file claims to assets other than the Seized Items in the Bankruptcy

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Ca	se, nor	shall it	constitute	a waiver b	y the	Trustee	of any	rights t	hat the	Trustee	may l	have
to	pursue	assets,	other than	the Seized	Items	, in the	Bankru	iptcy C	ase.			

- The Parties are barred from asserting, or assisting others in asserting, any claim (iv) against the United States and any and all agents and employees of the United States (including but not limited to the Department of Justice ("DOJ"), the United States Attorney's Office, Western District of North Carolina ("USAO-WDNC"), the United States Secret Service ("USSS"), the United States Department of Treasury, Treasury Executive Office for Asset Forfeiture ("TEOAF"), and any agents and employees of the United States, DOJ, USAO-WDNC, USSS, and TEOAF), in connection with or arising out of the seizure, restraint, and/or constructive possession of the Seized Items, including, but not limited to, any claim that there was no probable cause to seize the Seized Items, that the Trustee is a prevailing party, or that the Trustee is entitled to attorney's fees or any award of interest.
- The Parties shall bear their own costs and attorney's fees, except as otherwise (v) provided in the Coordination Agreement on costs and expenses approved by the Bankruptcy Court.
- (vi) The Bankruptcy Court and District Court shall have exclusive jurisdiction over the interpretation and enforcement of the Coordination Agreement under the applicable law in each of their respective cases.
- The Parties waive all rights to appeal or to otherwise challenge or contest the (vii) validity of the Coordination Agreement.
- (viii) Notwithstanding any applicable Bankruptcy Rule to the contrary and subject to the provisions of the Coordination Agreement, the terms and conditions of the Order on the Coordination Agreement shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.
- As discussed herein, the Trustee believes that the compromise reached in the Coordination Agreement is fair, reasonable and in the best interest of the Estate.
- 9. The Government requires that the Debtor sign the Plea Agreement on substantially the same terms as the plea agreement signed by Hannes Tulving. After arms-length negotiations, I reached agreement with the Government concerning the Plea Agreement. I agreed that Hannes

Tulving may sign the Plea Agreement on behalf of the Debtor, subject to an order of the Bankruptcy Court authorizing him to do so and, to the extent necessary, granting relief from the automatic stay with respect to the imposition of fines, sanctions and other monetary awards on a subordinated basis against the Debtor as set forth in the Plea Agreement.

- 10. Pursuant to the Plea Agreement, the Debtor agrees to plead guilty to 18 U.S.C. § 1343 wire fraud charge as set forth in Count One of a Bill of Information in the Criminal Case. Among other things, the Debtor agrees that the parties will jointly recommend certain findings and conclusions to the District Court relevant to the Sentencing Guidelines, including that the advisory fine range is \$16.6 million to \$35.2 million, agrees to a judgment for restitution, agrees that the Coordination Agreement shall control the disposition of the Seized Items in the Bankruptcy Court to pay restitution to Victims, agrees to make full disclosure of current and projected assets, agrees not to alienate assets other than as provided in the Coordination Agreement, consents to forfeiture with respect to all assets in the Bill of Information (subject to the Coordination Agreement), consents to the entry of judgment by the Magistrate Judge, waives objections to forfeiture and withdraws any administrative claim related thereto.
- 11. The rationale for approval of the Coordination Agreement and the Plea Agreement is straight-forward and compelling. I contend that the Seized Items are property of the Estate and that they should be turned over, and that the Bankruptcy Case is in any event the best forum both for liquidating such assets, administering the claims of the Victims and making distributions thereon. The Government, however, already has possession of the Seized Items under its Search Warrant and may assert the right to control the sale of the Seized Items and restitution payments to Victims under federal forfeiture statutes. The Coordination Agreement resolves what could be a costly dispute by providing me with an opportunity to achieve my objective on behalf of the Estate without further dispute by proposing a plan for liquidating Seized Items and making distributions in the Bankruptcy Case, with the Government to turn over sufficient Seized Items for that purpose, subject to the plan's approval by the Government and by the Bankruptcy Court.
- 12. The Plea Agreement is a required component of the Coordination Agreement. It contains provisions that I believe should be unobjectionable to creditors of the Estate, particularly

since I will seek allowance of the monetary judgment on a subordinated basis, and thus it will not
prejudice general unsecured creditors. A critical additional consideration is that the Plea
Agreement does not impose any more obligations on the Estate than it might incur in any event
through a judgment after trial, a process that I believe is unnecessary from the perspective of the
Estate and its creditors. Accordingly, I have concluded in the exercise of my business judgment
that the Coordination Agreement and Plea Agreement are fair and reasonable and in the best
interests of the Estate, and request that they be approved, that the automatic stay be lifted to permit
the imposition of a monetary judgment, and that Hannes Tulving, Jr., be authorized to execute them
on behalf of the Debtor.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this th day of June 2015, at Los Angeles, California.

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION

A S H	PELED EVILLE, N.C.
JUN	3 2015
U.S. DIST	RICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) DOCKET NO. 3:15CR_/15
V.)
(1) HANNES TULVING, JR., and))
(2) THE TULVING COMPANY, INC.)
· · · ·	S BANKRUPTCY COURT
	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANA DIVISION
In re: The Tulving Company, Inc.)
Debtor.) DOCKET NO. 8:14-bk-11492-ES

CONSENT MOTION AND PROPOSED ORDER FOR COORDINATION AGREEMENT FOR DISTRIBUTION OF SEIZED ITEMS FROM UNITED STATES TO BANKRUPTCY TRUSTEE AND FROM TRUSTEE TO VICTIMS

NOW COME the United States of America, by and through Jill W. Rose, Acting United States Attorney for the Western District of North Carolina ("the Government" or "the United States"); Hannes Tulving, Jr. ("Tulving"); the Tulving Company, Inc. ("Tulving Company"); and R. Todd Neilson as Chapter 7 Trustee for Tulving Company ("the Trustee") (collectively, "the Parties") and request that the District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, Charlotte Division ("the District Court") and the Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California, Santa Ana Division ("the Bankruptcy Court") enter this Proposed Coordination Agreement.

As explained more fully below, under the unique circumstances of these cases the Parties submit this Proposed Coordination Agreement ("Coordination Agreement") to the District Court and Bankruptcy Court for the purpose of achieving prompt liquidation of seized unique and rare

assets and distribution of funds for the benefit of victims. The Parties submit herein a proposed framework for:

- (1) expediting the finalization of a proposed list of victims of the crimes of Tulving and Tulving Company, particularly in light of the fact that the Trustee has already formulated a draft list pending before the Bankruptcy Court;
- (2) expediting liquidation by the Trustee of unique and rare assets—including but not limited to unusual, rare, and valuable, but illiquid, coins seized by the Government pursuant to the criminal investigation of Tulving and Tulving Company—that could be more promptly liquidated by the Trustee and/or any expert/s that he may employ in the already pending bankruptcy case than in the criminal case;
- (3) expediting distribution of proceeds of the sale of such assets to victims by routing the sale proceeds through the already-pending and actively-litigated bankruptcy case, as opposed to the newly filed criminal case; and
- (4) avoiding potentially unnecessary, time-consuming, and costly multi-district disputes among the Parties over assets, particularly since such disputes could impede the prompt delivery of funds to victims.

More specifically, in support of the Coordination Agreement, the parties submit the following background, findings, and conclusions for consideration and approval by the District Court and Bankruptcy Court.

WHEREAS, on or about March 8, 2014, on probable cause that Tulving and the Tulving Company were engaged in fraud, Special Agents of the United States Secret Service executed a Search Warrant (Central District of California Case SA14-00115M) on the offices of Tulving

Company, resulting in seizure of the gemstones, coins, and currency (hereafter, "the Seized Items") described as line items seven through thirty-four and thirty-six through fifty-two of a Search Warrant Return attached hereto as Attachment A;

WHEREAS, on or about March 21, 2014, in the case of *In re The Tulving Company, Inc.*(Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES; "the Bankruptcy Case"), the Bankruptcy Court, Honorable Bankruptcy
Judge Erithe Smith presiding, issued an Order Approving the U.S. Trustee's Application for the
Appointment of the Trustee;

WHEREAS, Tulving has signed a Plea Agreement whereby he has agreed to plead guilty to 18 U.S.C. § 1343 wire fraud charges set forth in a Bill of Information in the Western District of North Carolina and Tulving Company has signed a Plea Agreement whereby Tulving Company has agreed to plead guilty to the same charges set forth in the same Bill of Information (criminal case referred to herein as "the Criminal Case");

WHEREAS, the Plea Agreement signed by Tulving and the Plea Agreement signed by Tulving Company contain agreements about restitution to victims and forfeiture;

WHEREAS, the Parties have entered into this Coordination Agreement and propose this Coordination Agreement to the Bankruptcy Court and District Court in order to set forth and obtain approval of a framework for liquidation and distribution of the Seized Items;

WHEREAS, the Mandatory Victims Restitution Act, codified at 18 U.S.C. §§ 3663A, 3663, and 3664, and the Crime Victims' Rights Act, codified at 18 U.S.C. § 3771, provide for mandatory restitution to victims of the offenses in the Criminal Case and afford victims certain rights, including but not limited to rights to proceedings free from unreasonable delay;

WHEREAS, because of the nature of the fraud, the Bankruptcy Case claimants are primarily Tulving Company customers—the same customers who are victims in the Criminal Case. Furthermore, the Bankruptcy Trustee has undertaken significant efforts to determine the losses incurred by those customers. These efforts have included, among other things, a review and comparison of the Tulving Company bank records with Tulving Company business records, as well as review of complaints filed by customers with the State of California. During and after reviewing the records and complaints, the Trustee made direct contact with all known and identified Tulving Company customers and provided notice of the Bankruptcy to the general public. After the notice period, the Trustee received approximately 381 claims by victim creditors. Based on the United States Secret Service's review of the same records, the list of victim creditors is substantially similar to the list of victims in the Criminal Case.

WHEREAS, the Parties believe that the 381 Tulving Company customers identified in the Bankruptcy Case will be substantively similar, if not identical, to victims identified for purposes of restitution under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3663A and 3664 in the Criminal Case;

WHEREAS, in order to resolve any potential claims by the Trustee to the Seized Items if they were to be forfeited in the Criminal Case, and to expedite liquidation of the Seized Items and distribution of funds obtained from liquidation to victims, the Parties enter into the following stipulations and propose and request that the Bankruptcy Court sign and enter this Coordination Agreement in the Bankruptcy Case and the District Court sign and enter this Coordination Agreement in the Criminal Case. The Parties and the Bankruptcy Trustee agree that, if either the Bankruptcy Court or the District Court does not enter this Coordination Agreement, the terms of

Page 4 of 9

this Coordination Agreement shall not be effective, although the Government, Tulving, and Tulving Company shall still be bound by the terms of the Plea Agreements in the Criminal Case;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, ORDERED AND AGREED that:

- 1. The Parties agree that the Seized Items constitute or are derived from fraud proceeds or otherwise could be ordered forfeited as substitute property in the Criminal Case:
- 2. The Government agrees that the Trustee is in a more advantageous position than the Government to efficiently and promptly liquidate the Seized Items and distribute funds derived from liquidation to Tulving Company customers;
- 3. The Government agrees that Tulving Company customers, with total losses by 381 customers who have filed claims with the Trustee calculated to-date by the Trustee as \$17,878,022.83, are substantively similar to victims that the Government would contend are entitled to restitution in the Criminal Case and shall be considered "Victims" for purposes of this Coordination Agreement;
- 4. The Parties and the Trustee agree that prompt payment to such Victims can best be achieved by (1) the Trustee proposing, on or before sixty days after this Coordination Agreement is signed by both the Bankruptcy Court and the District Court, in the form of an omnibus motion or other filed pleading sufficient for filing in court, a final list of Victims and losses to the Parties, to the Bankruptcy Court, and to the District Court and proposing a plan for liquidation of the Seized Items to the Bankruptcy Court and the District Court, including a plan for all disbursements of costs and expenses and all

Page 5 of 9

disbursements to Victims and (2) the Government, if it is in agreement with the Victim list and plan for liquidation, and if the Bankruptcy Court approves the plan for liquidation, turning over Seized Items to the Trustee in an amount sufficient, based on best estimates of appraised value of the Seized Items, to satisfy the payouts proposed by the Trustee as set forth herein. The Trustee agrees that he shall make every effort to prioritize payments to Victims over all other payments, except for reasonable costs and expenses of liquidation approved by the Bankruptcy Court to which the Parties do not object in writing. The Government reserves the right to decline to turn over Seized Items to the Trustee in the event that, in the Government's sole discretion, any part of the plan set forth by the Trustee or any pending or anticipated litigation in the Bankruptcy Case is not, in light of all of the circumstances of the Bankruptcy Case and the Criminal Case, beneficial to prompt recovery for Victims.

- 5. The Parties and the Trustee agree that any Seized Items not otherwise turned over to the Trustee to pay Victims may be, subject to further administrative proceedings and/or further order/s of the District Court, forfeited and/or subject to garnishment, as more fully specified in the Plea Agreement signed by Tulving and the Plea Agreement signed by Tulving Company. The Parties agree that, once all Victims have been paid as proposed by the Trustee and approved by the Bankruptcy Court, the Trustee waives any right to claim any of the Seized Items.
- 6. This Coordination Agreement shall not constitute a waiver of the Department of Justice's or United States Secret Service's rights to bring criminal, civil, and/or administrative forfeiture proceedings, the Government's right to bring garnishment

Page 6 of 9

proceedings or other proceedings under the restitution and debt collection laws, or the Government's right to file claims to assets other than the Seized Items in the Bankruptcy Case, nor shall it constitute a waiver by the Trustee of any rights that the Trustee may have to pursue assets, other than the Seized Items, in the Bankruptcy Case.

- The Parties are hereby barred from asserting, or assisting others in asserting, any claim against the United States and any and all agents and employees of the United States (including but not limited to the Department of Justice ("DOJ"), the United States Attorney's Office, Western District of North Carolina ("USAO-WDNC"), the United States Secret Service ("USSS"), the United States Department of Treasury, Treasury Executive Office for Asset Forfeiture ("TEOAF"), and any agents and employees of the United States, DOJ, USAO-WDNC, USSS, and TEOAF), in connection with or arising out of the seizure, restraint, and/or constructive possession of the Seized Items, including, but not limited to, any claim that there was no probable cause to seize the Seized Items, that the Trustee is a prevailing party, or that the Trustee is entitled to attorney's fees or any award of interest.
- 8. The Parties shall bear their own costs and attorney's fees, except as otherwise provided herein on costs and expenses approved by the Bankruptcy Court.
- 9. This Coordination Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall be deemed the complete Coordination Agreement. Fax or PDF copies shall be treated as originals.

Page 7 of 9

- 10. The Parties hereby waive all rights to appeal or to otherwise challenge or contest the validity of this Coordination Agreement.
- 11. The Bankruptcy Court and District Court shall have exclusive jurisdiction over the interpretation and enforcement of this Coordination Agreement under the applicable law in each of their respective cases.
- 12. Except as otherwise noted in the Plea Agreement signed by Tulving and the Plea Agreement signed by Tulving Company, this Coordination Agreement constitutes the complete agreement amongst the Parties in this matter and may not be amended except by written consent thereof.
- 13. Notwithstanding the possible applicability of the Bankruptcy Rules and subject to the provisions above, the terms and conditions of this Order shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

May 8, 2015

AGREED AND CONSENTED TO:

JILL WESTMORLAND ROSE

Acting United States Attorney for the Western District of North Carolina

Attorney for Plaintiff

By:

Benjamin Bain-Creed

Assistant United States Attorney

Florida Bar # 0021436

Suite 1650, Carillon Building

227 West Trade Street

Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Telephone: (704) 344-6222

Fax: (704) 344-6629

E-mail: benjamin.bain-creed@usdoj.gov

Page 8 of 9

	R. TODD NEILSON Solely in his capacity as Chapter 7 Trustee for The Tulving Co., Inc	
Ву:	R. Todd Neilson Director, Berkeley Research Group, LLC 2049 Century Park East, Suite 2525 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 499-4750 Fax: (310) 557-8982 Email: tneilson@thinkbrg.com	Date
Ву:	DEFENDANTS HANNES TULVING, JI Hannes Tulving, Jr. in his individual capacity and as an authorized signatory for The Tulving Co., h	4-29-15 Date
	James F. Wyatt, Esq. Attorney for Hannes Tulving Jr. Attorney for The Tulving Co., Inc.	51415 Date
SO O	Hon. Max. D. Cogo RN Zw. UNITED STATES DISARICT JUDGE	6-3-15 Date
SO OI	RDERED:	
	Hon. Erithe A. Smith UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGH	Date

Page 9 of 9

		•	Return				
	4-0015M	Date and time warran	APPROV. 1600	Copy of warra	שבע אב	Ju. 34	140 14
Inventory ma	de in the presen	ce of: Special Agent	T. Corum/U	nited State	s Secret	Service/0)C
[Please provide seized pursuan volume of any	e a description that to the warrant (e documents seized	en and name of any pers it would be sufficient to de .g., type of documents, as (e.g., number of boxes). nt and any case number ap	on(s) seizea; emonstrate that the opposed to "misco If reference is made	items seized fall	within the it ents") as well	ems authorized	I to be
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	Fourteen documents operations one (1) Vi One (1) Co One (1) Lo One (1) Ex 8832 "Penn 23,607 "Ni 34,908 "Di 64,586 "Qu 5,518 "Hal 51,459 "Do One (1) Em Eighty (80 One (1) "c Five (5) "One (1) co One (1) Mi. Two (2) pla One (1) pla	regarding the units of the Tulving o	oard boxes of fulfilled un Company. twork (VPN) erver es ic sleeves lastic sleeves clastic sleeves clastic sleeves coins	ontaining fishipped ord Firewall es ves leeves es	lers and	business	ationa
			Certification (by	officer present di	ning the exec	cution of the w	arrant)
as returned a	penalty of perfi long with the ort	iry that I am an officer v ginal warrant to the des	who executed thi	s warrant and ti	hat this inve	ntorv is corre	

Date: 03/27/14

Executing officer's signature

Todd Corum /Special Agent/US Secret Service

Printed name and title

CASE NO: SA 14-0015M

ATTACHMENT A

INVENTORY OF PROPERTY TAKEN (CONTINUED)

- 22.1 Canadian paper money
- 23. One (1) "Robert E. Lee" silver colored coin
- 24. Two (2) "Madame Bolanger" gold colored coin
- 25. One (1) "Franklin Collectors Society" coin in plastic
- 26. One (1) "Vote for Mike Ellis" gold colored coin
- 27. One (1) "gg mining" silver colored coin in plastic
- 28. One (1) "George Washington" copper colored coin
- 29. 34 Netherland coins silver in color
- 30. 13 Argentine coins gold in color
- 31. 110 American Eagle gold coin boxes
- 32. One (1) White bucket containing 25.48 kilograms of silver colored metal
- 33. 631 "Dollar" coins gold in color
- 34. Three (3) "Quarters" silver in color
- 35. One (1) Invoice labeled with number "83881"
- 36. Two.: (2) Ten \$10.00 coins labelled "Platinum"
- 37. One (I) Twenty five \$25.00 coin labelled "Platinum"
- 38. One (1) One Hundred dollar coin \$100.00 labelled "Platinum"
- 39. One (1) One Dollar coin \$1.00 labeled "missing edge lettering"
- 40. Fifty 50Fifty Dollar coin labeled "fine gold"
- 41. Three (3) One Hundred Dollar coins labeled "gold"
- 42. One (1) Five Dollar coin gold in color
- 43. Six (6) gold colored French coins
- 44. Twelve (12) gold colored bars labeled "10G"
- 45. 53 gold colored bars labeled "1G"
- 46. Five (5) gold colored bars labled "5G"
- 47. One (1) gold colored bar labeled "2.5G"
- 48. One (1) gold colored bar labeled "perth mint"
- 49. Eight (8) gold colored coins from foreign nations
- 50. Two (2) gold colored bars labeled "SMI 1G"
- 51. One (1) gold colored bars labeled "SMI 10G"
- 52. One (1) bag of four "returned coins"

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, 13th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (specify): NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER (I) APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE TRUSTEE AND DEBTOR TO ENTER INTO THE CONSENT MOTION AND PROPOSED ORDER FOR COORDINATION AGREEMENT FOR DISTRIBUTION OF SEIZED ITEMS FROM THE

HANNES TULVING, JR. T BEHALF OF THE DEBTO BANKRUPTCY CODE AN SUPPORT THEREOF; DE	TO EXECUTE A PLEA AGREEM DR, AND (C) FOR RELATED REI ND BANKRUPTCY RULE 9019; I ECLARATION OF R. TODD NEIL	FROM THE TRUSTEE TO VICTIMS, (B) AUTHORIZING ENT WITH THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ON ILEF PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105 AND 362 OF THE MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SON will be served or was served (a) on the judge in d); and (b) in the manner stated below:	
Orders and LBR, the foreg 2015 , I checked the CM/E	poing document will be served by CF docket for this bankruptcy cas	CTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General he court via NEF and hyperlink to the document on June or adversary proceeding and determined that the follows F transmission at the email addresses stated below:	<u>e 18,</u>
		⊠ Service information continued on attached	page
case or adversary proceed first class, postage prepaid	I served the following persons an ding by placing a true and correct	d/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankrupt copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States m og the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to ument is filed.	nail,
		⊠ Service information continued on attached	page
for each person or entity s following persons and/or e such service method), by f	erved): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 entities by personal delivery, overr facsimile transmission and/or ema	ALL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state met and/or controlling LBR, on (date) June 18, 2015, I serve ight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing il as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declarate be completed no later than 24 hours after the document	d the to ation
Via Federal Express The Honorable Erithe A. S United States Bankruptcy Central District of Californi Ronald Reagan Federal B 411 West Fourth Street, S Santa Ana, CA 92701-459	Court a uilding and Courthouse uite 5040		
		⊠ Service information continued on attached	page
I declare under penalty of	perjury under the laws of the Unit	ed States that the foregoing is true and correct.	
June 18, 2015	Nancy H. Brown	/s/ Nancy H. Brown	

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

Printed Name

Signature

Date

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF):

- Wesley H Avery wamiracle6@yahoo.com, wavery@rpmlaw.com
- Candice Bryner candice@brynerlaw.com
- Philip Burkhardt phil@burkhardtandlarson.com, stacey@burkhardtandlarson.com
- Stephen L Burton steveburtonlaw@aol.com
- Frank Cadigan frank.cadigan@usdoj.gov
- Linda F Cantor lcantor@pszjlaw.com, lcantor@pszjlaw.com
- David L Gibbs david.gibbs@gibbslaw.com, ecf@gibbslaw.com
- Nancy S Goldenberg nancy.goldenberg@usdoj.gov
- Lawrence J Hilton lhilton@oneil-llp.com, ssimmons@oneil-llp.com;kdonahue@oneil-llp.com
- John H Kim jkim@cookseylaw.com
- R. Todd Neilson (TR) tneilson@brg-expert.com, sgreenan@brg-expert.com;tneilson@ecf.epiqsystems.com;ntroszak@brg-expert.com
- Jason S Pomerantz jspomerantz@pszjlaw.com, jspomerantz@pszjlaw.com
- Nanette D Sanders becky@ringstadlaw.com
- Richard C Spencer rspencer@rspencerlaw.com
- United States Trustee (SA) ustpregion16.sa.ecf@usdoj.gov

2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

Debtor

The Tulving Company Inc 2049 Century Park East, Suite 2525 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3225

Counsel for Debtor

Andrew S Bisom
The Bisom Law Group
8001 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 1170
Irvine, CA 92618

James F. Wyatt, III Wyatt & Blake, LLP 435 East Morehead Street Charlotte, NC 28202

Laurence P Nokes on behalf of Interested Party John Frankel Nokes & Quinn 410 Broadway St Ste 200 Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Accountants for Landlord Brent Murdoch Murdoch & Morris, LLP 114 Pacifica, Ste. 320 Irvine, CA 92618 **Interested Party**

Frye & Hsieh Douglas J Frye Esquire 24955 Pacific Coast Highway # A201 Malibu, CA 90265

Counsel for Creditor Levon Gugasian

Nanette D. Sanders, Esq. Ringstad & Sanders LLP 2030 Main Street Suite 1600 Irvine, CA 92614

Harlene Miller, Esq. Harlene Miller Law 17910 Sky Park Circle, Suite 105 Irvine, CA 92614

On the Rocks Jewelry & Rare Coins Attn: David Halpin and Desirea Sloan 207 N. El Camino Real San Clemente, CA 92672