1	
2	The Honorable Christopher M. Alston Chapter 11
3	Hearing Date: June 23, 2017 Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.
4	Hearing Location: Seattle – Room 7206 Response Date: June 21, 2017
5	Response Date. Julie 21, 2017
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10	In re
11	NORTHWEST TERRITORIAL MINT, LLC, No. 16-11767-CMA
12	Debtor. OBJECTION OF ROSS HANSEN TO
13	MOTION FOR RULE 2004 EXAM
14	
15	Ross Hansen objects to the Trustee's Motion for Rule 2004 Examination. The Trustee's
16	request to start yet another round of litigation and discovery with Mr. Hansen and Ms. Erdmann
17	fails to disclose the discovery already taken from Mr. Hansen and third parties. While the
18	Court has wide latitude to authorize examinations under Rule 2004, the right to conduct Rule
19	2004 examinations is not unlimited, particularly where, as here, the request appears to have as
20	much to do with litigation tactics as anything else. The Court should deny the Trustee's latest
21	request.
22	FACTS
23	This case was filed on April 1, 2016. Mr. Calvert was appointed as Chapter 11 Trustee
24	on April 11, 2016. (ECF 45)
25	On April 25, 2016, the Trustee filed ex parte motions for broad Rule 2004 Examinations
26	of Mr. Hansen, Ms. Erdmann, and Medallic Art Corporation. (ECF Nos. 109, 110, and 111)
27	Orders granting the motions were entered by the Court. (ECF Nos. 132, 133, and 134) In May
	OBJECTION OF ROSS HANSEN TO MOTION FOR RULE 2004 EXAM - 1 Davis Wright Tremaine LLP LAW OFFICES 4815-5694-8554v.2 0106937-000001 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98101-3045 206.622.3150 main - 206.757.7700 fax Case 16-11767-CMA Doc 1103 Filed 06/21/17 Ent. 06/21/17 16:21:09 Pg. 1 of 7

2016, the Trustee moved to sell assets located in Tomball, Texas. (ECF 200) Medallic Art Corporation objected and Mr. Hansen sought to participate in the auction. He was ultimately unsuccessful and the Court approved a sale to a third party. (ECF 374)

However, as part of that process, the Trustee learned that third parties provided or proposed to provide funding to Mr. Hansen for the payment of legal fees and to finance the purchase of the Tomball assets. (ECF 323, 324 and 325) The Trustee sought Rule 2004 Examinations of two of those parties, Mr. Parish and Olympic Trading Company. The Trustee claimed that:

"The Trustee deems it essential to examine Michael Parish and records and documents in his possession, custody or control as described in Exhibit A pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2004, relating to the acts, conduct, or property, to the liabilities and financial condition of NWTM, and to matters which may affect the administration of NWTM's estate.").

13 (ECF 323 at 2) The Court granted the Trustee's motion. (ECF 383) Presumably, if the Trustee
14 had discovered earth shaking facts from Mr. Parish, the Court would have heard about it.

15 The Trustee then brought a motion seeking to hold Mr. Hansen in contempt for alleged

16 violations of the automatic stay. (See ECF 460, 461) Extensive proceedings followed,

17 including depositions of Mr. Hansen and others. (See, e.g., ECF 571, 572) The Trustee's

18 motion was ultimately unsuccessful. (ECF 595)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19 Shortly after the Court denied the Trustee's motion to hold Mr. Hansen in contempt, he 20 filed another motion, this time seeking to hold Mr. Hansen in contempt for the alleged failure to 21 comply with the April 25 2004 Order. (ECF 132) In a hearing on June 3, 2016, Mr. Hansen 22 had agreed to produce records in his possession, although it was clear that he did not have 23 much in his possession. Since the Trustee prohibited Mr. Hansen from going to Northwest 24 Territorial Mint's offices, and his rental home had been the subject of a break and enter order 25 obtained by Mr. Cohen, Mr. Hansen plainly did not have much that he could produce. 26 Subsequent to the June 3 hearing, Mr. Hansen produced responsive records that were in his 27

OBJECTION OF ROSS HANSEN TO MOTION FOR RULE 2004 EXAM - 2 4815-5694-8554v.2 0106937-000001 Case 16-11767-CMA Doc 1103 File Davis Wright Tremaine LLP LAW OFFICES 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98101-3045 206.622.3150 main · 206.757.7700 fax

Case 16-11767-CMA Doc 1103 Filed 06/21/17 Ent. 06/21/17 16:21:09

possession. Continuing the gambit that the Trustee had earlier used, the Trustee again 2 demanded that Mr. Hansen produce records that Mr. Hansen did not have. The Trustee initially 3 denied that records he demanded that Mr. Hansen produce were in the Trustee's possession. 4 The Trustee ultimately had to admit he did have records. The Trustee then similarly claimed 5 that he did not have certain long-closed brokerage account records, but later admitted that he 6 had some of them. (See ECF 644 and 645) The Trustee ultimately dropped his motion.

7 The current Rule 2004 motion covers some of the same issues in the original motion. 8 The Trustee's current motion failed to disclose, let alone describe, the testimony and documents 9 previously provided by Mr. Hansen and others. The Trustee's motion was filed at about the 10 same time the District Court denied the Trustee's appeal of this Court's decision in the matter related to the retainer paid by Ms. Erdmann to Mr. Tracy. (ECF 1051) Under Judge Lasnik's decision, Ms. Erdmann is entitled to the return of funds paid to Mr. Tracy.

DISCUSSION

14 Although Rule 2004 broadly authorizes discovery, "[t]here are limits to the scope of a 15 2004 examination. It may not be used "for purposes of abuse or harassment' and it 'cannot 16 stray into matters which are not relevant to the basic inquiry." 9-2004 Collier on Bankruptcy 17 2004.02[1] (2016) (citations omitted); see also Farris-Ellison, 2015 WL 5306600, at *3 (a Rule 18 2004 examination must be both "relevant and reasonable" and "may not be used to annoy, 19 embarrass or oppress the party being examined."). Moreover, "third parties subject to 20 examination pursuant to Rule 2004 are only [] those persons possessing knowledge of the 21 debtor's acts, conduct or financial affairs so far as this relates to a debtor's proceeding in 22 bankruptcy." In re Dinubilo, 177 B.R. 932, 940 (E.D. Cal. 1993) (citations and quotations 23 omitted).

Mr. Hansen has been subjected to multiple motions by the Trustee, often shortly after the Trustee is unsuccessful on a different motion. The Trustee has gone to great lengths to discredit Mr. Hansen, including his effort to hold Mr. Hansen in contempt for failure to produce

OBJECTION OF ROSS HANSEN TO MOTION FOR RULE 2004 EXAM - 3 4815-5694-8554v.2 0106937-000001

1

11

12

13

24

25

26

27

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP LAW OFFICES 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98101-3045 206.622.3150 main · 206.757.7700 fax

Case 16-11767-CMA Doc 1103 Filed 06/21/17 Ent. 06/21/17 16:21:09

Pq. 3 of 7

documents that were in the Trustee's possession.¹ The current motion is different only in that the attack is on Ms. Erdmann. Here, the Trustee initially claimed that Ms. Erdmann had testified that "she essentially had no assets." (ECF 1052 at 3) In recently filed papers, the Trustee concedes that Ms. Erdmann testified that she had additional assets, but not enough to cover all the legal fees advanced based on testimony taken in supplemental proceedings. ECF 1096. The timing of the Trustee's motion is again suspect, coming as it did at nearly the same time as Judge Lasnik's affirmance of this Court's decision regarding the retainer paid to Mr. Tracy – the Trustee will no doubt seek to avoid returning the balance of the retainer paid to Mr. Tracy to Ms. Erdmann.

It is also noteworthy that the Trustee seeks blanket authority to issue subpoenas to any third parties he chooses based on information provided by Ms. Erdmann or Mr. Hansen. As with the 2004 Examination of Mr. Parish taken last year, the Trustee essentially requests authority to seek unlimited discovery from anyone associated with Mr. Hansen or Ms. Erdmann. There is no reason to give the Trustee a blank check to engage in endless discovery.

The Trustee's failure to fully disclose the extent of the discovery and depositions already obtained on these issues is reason enough to deny the Trustee's motion. The fact that the Trustee has pursued the same inquiries over the past year is all the more reason to deny the motion.

¹ While the Trustee continues to claim that millions of dollars in precious metals were missing when he was appointed Chapter 11 Trustee, the fact is that the financial reports filed by the Trustee show that the he has liquidated millions of dollars of precious metals and other assets since he was appointed, and continues to liquidate the assets of the company.

 OBJECTION OF ROSS HANSEN TO MOTION FOR RULE 2004 EXAM - 4
 Davis Wright Tremaine LLP LAW OFFICES

 4815-5694-8554v.2 0106937-000001
 Seattle, WA 98101-3045 206.622.3150 main - 206.757.7700 fax

 Case 16-11767-CMA
 Doc 1103
 Filed 06/21/17
 Ent. 06/21/17
 16:21:09
 Pg. 4 of 7

1

1	CONCLUSION
2	The Trustee's motion for a further Rule 2004 examination of Mr. Hansen should be
3	denied.
4	DATED this 21st day of June, 2017.
5	Davis Wright Tremaine LLP Attorneys for Ross Hansen
6	Attorneys for Ross Hansen
7	
8	By <u>/s/ Ragan L. Powers</u> Ragan L. Powers, WSBA #11935
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
26 27	
21	Davis Weight Termsing II D
	OBJECTION OF ROSS HANSEN TO Davis Wright Tremaine LLP MOTION FOR RULE 2004 EXAM - 5 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200 4815-5694-8554v.2 0106937-000001 206.622.3150 main · 206.757.7700 fax
	Case 16-11767-CMA Doc 1103 Filed 06/21/17 Ent. 06/21/17 16:21:09 Pg. 5 of 7

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	I certify that on June 21, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the
3	Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the
4	following:
5	• Elizabeth Berke-Dreyfuss edreyfuss@wendel.com, pjoakimson@wendel.com
6 7	 Thomas N. Bucknell tbucknell@bsss-law.com, cpercy@bsss-law.com Sarah H Deutsch skd@tblaw.com, kperalta@tblaw.com
8	 Lawrence K Engel engelpleadings@hotmail.com Micheline N Fairbank mfairbank@ag.nv.gov, dwright@ag.nv.gov
9	 C James Frush jfrush@cablelang.com, mvarona@cablelang.com Michael J Gearin michael.gearin@klgates.com, bankruptcyecf@klgates.com
10	 Thomas M Geher TGeher@JMBM.com, BT@JMBM.com Michael E Gossler mgossler@mpba.com, eservice@mpba.com;lhanlon@mpba.com
11	 Joseph A Hamell jhamell@mpba.com, lpreskitt@mpba.com,eservice@mpba.com Jay W. Hurst Jay.Hurst@texasattorneygeneral.gov,
12	 sherri.simpson@texasattorneygeneral.gov Richard J Hyatt hyatt@ryanlaw.com, blanchard@ryanlaw.com
13	 Gregory J Jalbert gregoryjalbert@gmail.com, r43861@notify.bestcase.com John S Kaplan jkaplan@perkinscoie.com,
14	COLague@perkinscoie.com;docketsea@perkinscoie.com;VBarei@perkinscoie.com;joh n-kaplan-2112@ecf.pacerpro.com
15	 John R Knapp john.knapp@millernash.com, lisa.petras@millernash.com Bruce P. Kriegman bkriegman@kriegmanlaw.com, sblan@kriegmanlaw.com
16 17	Bruce W. Leaverton leavertonb@lanepowell.com, sea.bankruptcy.ecf@lanepowell.com;docketing-
18	 sea@lanepowell.com;craiga@lanepowell.com;stevensk@lanepowell.com Damien A Lee damien.lee@eeoc.gov
19	 Lance L Lee ecf@lancelee.com Christopher A Lybeck chrislybeck.law@gmail.com, chrislybeck@gmail.com
20	 William F Malaier wmalaier@omwlaw.com, nhill@omwlaw.com Christopher J. Marston cmarston@dpearson.com, kkardash@dpearson.com
21	 Brett C Masch ecf@johnlonglaw.com, ajames@johnlonglaw.com Bruce K Medeiros bmedeiros@dbm-law.net, sabrahamson@dbm-
22	 Robert D Mitchell rdm@tblaw.com, kperalta@tblaw.com
23	 Tyler J Moore moore@lasher.com, krachunis@lasher.com;griffin@lasher.com Zachary Mosner bcumosner@atg.wa.gov
24 25	 David C Neu david.neu@klgates.com, bankruptcyecf@klgates.com Mark D Northrup mark.northrup@millernash.com, dona.purdy@millernash.com
25 26	 Andrea D Orth aorth@bsss-law.com Aditi Paranjpye aditi.paranjpye@usdoj.gov, christine.leininger@usdoj.gov
27	 Brian T Peterson brian.peterson@klgates.com, bankruptcyecf@klgates.com
	OBJECTION OF ROSS HANSEN TO MOTION FOR RULE 2004 EXAM - 6 Davis Wright Tremaine LLP Law OFFICEs 4815-5694-8554v.2 0106937-000001 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98101-3045 206.622.3150 main · 206.757.7700 fax Case 16-11767-CMA Doc 1103 Filed 06/21/17 Ent. 06/21/17 16:21:09 Pg. 6 of 7

1	• David A Petteys david@stollpetteys.com, info@stollpetteys.com
	 Danial D Pharris pharris@lasher.com, ullom@lasher.com Joshua A Rataezyk joshua.rataezyk@hcmp.com,
2	bankruptcy@hcmp.com;siri.daly@hcmp.com;tracy.yi@hcmp.com
3	• Seth A Rosenberg seth@rosenberglawgroup.net
4	• Edwin K Sato esato@bsss-law.com, cpercy@bsss-law.com
4	• Dominique R Scalia dscalia@lawdbs.com, paralegal@lawdbs.com
5	• Alan D Smith adsmith@perkinscoie.com, docketsea@perkinscoie.com;VBarei@perkinscoie.com;al-smith-
6	9439@ecf.pacerpro.com
	Martin L. Smith martin.l.smith@usdoj.gov, Young-
7	Mi.Petteys@usdoj.gov;Tara.Maurer@usdoj.gov;Martha.A.VanDraanen@usdoj.gov
8	• Jonathan A Sprouffske jsprouffske@olylaw.com,
	 chauser@olylaw.com;cbalestreri@olylaw.com;r49344@notify.bestcase.com John A Sterbick jsterbick@sterbick.com,
9	loreleiw@sterbick.com;rayo@sterbick.com;r43467@notify.bestcase.com
10	Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts kara.siewert@cpa.texas.gov
11	• J Todd Tracy todd@thetracylawgroup.com, ecf@thetracylawgroup.com
11	 United States Trustee USTPRegion18.SE.ECF@usdoj.gov Jesse Valdez jesse@valdezlehman.com, erica@valdezlehman.com
12	 Sarah Weaver sarah.weaver@weaverlaw.net
13	• Christopher M Wyant chris.wyant@klgates.com, rhonda.hinman@klgates.com
	• Miles A Yanick myanick@sbwllp.com,
14	 eservice@sbwllp.com;clein@sbwllp.com;ahooten@sbwllp.com James B Zack zackj@lanepowell.com, stevensk@lanepowell.com;Docketing-
15	SEA@lanepowell.com
16	1
16	
17	DATED this 21st day of June, 2017.
18	
10	
19	/s/ Ragan L. Powers
20	Ragan L. Powers, WSBA #11935
21	
<i>4</i> 1	
22	
23	
24	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	OBJECTION OF ROSS HANSEN TO Davis Wright Tremaine LLP MOTION FOR RULE 2004 EXAM - 7 Law OFFICEs 4815-5694-8554v.2 0106937-000001 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98101-3045 206.622.3150 main · 206.757.7700 fax
	Case 16-11767-CMA Doc 1103 Filed 06/21/17 Ent. 06/21/17 16:21:09 Pg. 7 of 7