1 The Honorable Christopher M. Alston Chapter 11 2 Hearing Location: Room 7206 Hearing Date: August 5, 2016 3 Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m. Response Date: July 29, 2016 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 In re 10 NORTHWEST TERRITORIAL MINT, LLC, Case No. 16-11767-CMA 11 Debtor. ROSS HANSEN'S SUPPLEMENTAL 12 MEMORANDUM IN RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR CONTEMPT 13 14 This supplemental memorandum is submitted in response to the Trustee's motion for 15 contempt against Mr. Hansen, based on depositions taken July 28 and July 29.1 Complete 16 copies of the depositions are attached to the Declaration of Jim Frush. 17 Return of Retainer. The Trustee claims that Mr. Hansen's request to Mr. Tracy to 18 return the retainer provided by Ms. Erdmann was a violation of the stay. In his deposition, the 19 Trustee admitted that he told Mr. Hansen that if the retainer was Ms. Erdmann's, she should get 20 21 it. After he consulted with counsel, the Trustee and his counsel said it was not to be released. 22 Calvert Dep. at 120. Mr. Hansen was not aware of the Trustee's change in position when he 23 went to retrieve the check that Mr. Tracy had promised. The Trustee cannot now be heard to 24 complain about an act that the Trustee initially approved. 25 26 ¹ The Trustee refused to continue his motion until after Mr. Hansen's counsel had the opportunity to depose the Trustee and employees who filed declarations in support of the 27 ROSS HANSEN'S SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR CONTEMPT -1 Davis Wright Tremaine LLP DWT 30134545v1 0106937-000001

Case 16-11767-CMA Doc 569 Filed 08/02/16 Ent. 08/02/16216236120 200 Pigral of 5

LAW OFFICES

Copies of Medallic Arts documents. The Trustee complains about Mr. Hansen's efforts to obtain copies of documents related to Medallic Arts. The Trustee admitted in his deposition that he authorized Ms. Richardson, Mr. Hansen's assistant, to communicate with Mr. Hansen, and that he was prepared to let Mr. Hansen get copies of documents related to Medallic. Calvert Dep. at 62. Mr. Hansen had a number of exchanges with Ms. Richardson regarding documents. Ms. Richardson eventually went on vacation and never returned (i.e., she quit working for NWTM). Trunkett Dep. at 30.

On May 17, Mr. Hansen called NWTM and spoke with Mr. Patrick Ward, a security guard, telling him that he would be coming by the next day to pick up documents. Huffman Dep. at 12. When Mr. Hansen arrived at NWTM on May 18, he went to Ms. Turkett's office. The descriptions of the events vary, but Mr. Hansen was only there a short time. He initially spoke with Ms. Turkett, asking for the documents. She got through to one of the Trustee's representatives and was told not to give anything to Mr. Hansen. Mr. Huffman, the head of security, told Mr. Hansen to leave. Mr. Hansen left and has not been back to NWTM. Everyone agrees that Mr. Hansen was only seeking copies of documents related to Medallic. *See* Trunkett and Huffman Deps.

Other Contacts with Employees. The Trustee complains about other contacts Mr. Hansen had with employees. However, the Trustee is only able to name two inidviduals with whom Mr. Hansen allegedly discussed future employment. While he "thinks" Mr. Hansen was undermining him, he can only identify two such instances:

- Q. What lack of cooperation are you talking about…in that last sentence of paragraph seven?
- A. I think he was undermining. I think we probably could have stated it better. He has not cooperated with me in stabilizing the business affairs. I think he was undermining me. I think he was talking behind the scenes. I think he was telling people to quit.

ROSS HANSEN'S SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR CONTEMPT $^{\circ\,2}$ $_{(16\text{-}11767\text{-}CMA)}$

I think there was a lot of things going on and a lot of noise going on that adversely impacted the assets of the estate; specifically, the employee base, the customer base, the vendor base, and the like. So those are all attributes that I believe are a violation of the automatic stay.

- Q. Mr. Calvert, your testimony as to all of those communications with those four categories of people are only specific with regard to two; employees Destiny Krum and a female manager in Auburn. And you can't give a single instance of any communication by Ross with other groups of people or other employees, can you?
- A. Nor did I pursue finding them.

Calvert Dep at 88-89.

A conversation between Mr. Hansen and Mr. Wagner (who had been elevated to President of NWTM by the Trustee) appears to be the last contact with an employee raised in the Trustee's motion. While their summaries of the discussion differ, Mr. Wagner admitted that he engaged in the conversation voluntarily, and did not exercise his right to walk away. Wagner Dep. at 7. While Mr. Calvert claims that Mr. Hansen's alleged activities with employees have disrupted the company, Mr. Wagner claims that morale has improved under Mr. Calvert. Wagner Dep. at 27-28.

Destiny Krum. The only conversation between Mr. Hansen and an employee that Mr. Calvert could identify as creating a potential problem (but that did not materialize) was the one between Mr. Hansen and Ms. Krum. Destiny Krum is an hourly employee with Northwest Territorial Mint. Krum Dep. at 4. Over the years, she and Diane Erdman became best friends. Krum Dep. at 6. Destiny lives with her parents in Buckley, has an infant child, and is a single mother relying completely on her paycheck for support. Her parents are not in a position to provide health insurance for her daughter or support other than giving her a place to live. Krum Dep. 13-15.

ROSS HANSEN'S SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR CONTEMPT $^{-3}$ (16-11767-CMA)

Among other duties, Destiny ran an engraving machine in Auburn until she left on maternity leave in October 2014. Krum Dep at 8. Her engraving machine uses an off-the-shelf software. Krum Dep. at 11.

Destiny was aware of the Suppression Memo which threatened her with immediate termination if she spoke to Ross or Diane. Krum Dep. at 17-18. She believed if she violated the order, she could be terminated immediately. Krum Dep. at 18-19. After she received the Suppression Memo, she had several conversations with Diane and Mr. Hansen, either over the phone or at the Hansen-Erdman residence. Krum Dep. at 26-27. After playing phone tag with Ms. Erdmann, she received a call from Ms. Erdmann on May 13. Krum Dep. at 22. At some point, Ms. Erdmann handed the phone to Mr. Hansen. Krum Dep. at 22. She spoke to Mr. Hansen for about forty-five minutes. Krum Dep. at 23. In the call, Mr. Hansen told her to back up the engraving machine files on a USB drive, which Krum surmised "made me feel like he wanted me to get rid of the machine." Krum Dep. at 31. Destiny didn't want to tell anybody about the conversation and wanted to act like it didn't happen. Krum Dep. at 44. The reason she didn't want to tell anyone was because she was "scared shitless" and very much afraid. Krum Dep.at 44-45. She was aware she could be fired for having the conversation. Krum Dep. at 44. Destiny also lost sleep over having had the conversation. Krum Dep. at 48-49.

At some point, Destiny talked to a fellow worker, Kathy Kelso, about her conversation with Mr. Hansen, who then went to another person (James), who then reported to Dave Huffman that Destiny had spoken with Mr. Hansen, apparently several weeks after the call. Krum Dep. at 38-39.

2627

25

ROSS HANSEN'S SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR CONTEMPT $^{\rm -4}$ $_{\rm (16-11767-CMA)}$

As soon as word reached Mr. Huffman, he confronted Destiny in the engraving room at Building B in Auburn and interrogated her about her conversation with Mr. Hansen. Krum Dep. at 39. Some twenty minutes after Mr. Huffman confronted Destiny, she received a call from Mr. Huffman and was told to come to the Federal Way Mint office. Krum Dep. at 51. At that point, she met with Mr. Calvert, Mr. Huffman, and a woman from the legal department for a couple of hours and signed a declaration. Krum Dep. at 52. The next day, Ms. Krum was asked to sign a new declaration and Mr. Huffman delivered a copy of the Suppression Memo to her in Auburn the same day. Krum Dep. at 41, 52-56.

Ms. Krum's testimony conflicts with that of Mr. Hansen with respect to her perception of what he suggested that she do. It is clear that Ms. Krum did not know how to "get rid of the machine" even if she thought that was what he was asking. Krum Dep. at 32. She did not do whatever she thought it was that Mr. Hansen was asking her to do, and nothing happened for after the call for several weeks, when Mr. Huffman learned of her conversation with Mr. Hansen.

DATED this 2nd day of August, 2016.

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP

By: <u>/s/Ragan Powers</u>

Ragan Powers, WSBA # 11935

Cable Langenbach Kinerk & Bauer

By: <u>/s/C. James Frush</u> C. James Frush, WSBA # 7092

Attorneys for Ross Hansen

ROSS HANSEN'S SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR CONTEMPT $^{\text{-}5}$ $_{\text{(16-11767-CMA)}}$